David Émile Durkheim (April 15, 1858 – November 15, 1917) was a French sociologist. He formally established academic discipline and, with Karl Marx and Max Weber, commonly cited as the principal architect of modern social science and father of sociology.

Much of Durkheim's work was concerned with how societies could maintain their integrity and coherence in modernity; an era in which traditional social and religious ties are no longer assumed, and in which new social institutions have come into being.
How did his work influence others, and is his work still significant to the modern field of sociology, why or why not?

What theory was his work rooted in: interactionist, functionalist, conflict?

What type of researcher was he, and what research methodologies did he use?

What was his contributions to the field of Sociology?

What is Emile's brief background of his Educational training, and who influenced his work?

David Émile Durkheim (April 15, 1858 – November 15, 1917) was a French sociologist. He formally established the academic discipline and, with Karl Marx, architect of modern social science and father of sociology.

Much of Durkheim's work was concerned with how societies could maintain their modernity; an era in which traditional social and religious ties are no longer essential to social institutions have come into being. His first major sociological work was published in 1893. In 1895, he published his *Rules of the Sociological Method* in sociology, becoming France's first professor of sociology. In 1896, he established the journal *Sociologique*. Durkheim's seminal monograph, *Suicide* (1897), a study of Protestant populations, pioneered modern social research psychology and political philosophy. *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life* (1897), a study of religion, comparing the social and cultural lives of aboriginal and modern societies.

Durkheim was also deeply preoccupied with the acceptability of sociology as a legitimate scientific discipline, and his work on positivism originally set forth by Auguste Comte, proved the usefulness of the hypothetico-deductive model.
major proponent of structural functionalism, a foundational perspective in both sociology and anthropology. In his view, social science should be purely phenomena attributed to society at large, rather than being limited to the specific actions of individuals. He remained a dominant force in French intellectual life, presenting numerous lectures and published works on a variety of topics, including stratification, religion, law, education, and deviance. Durkheimian terms such as have since entered the popular lexicon.

Childhood and education

Durkheim was born in Épinal in Lorraine, coming from a long line of devout French Jews; his father, grandfather, and great-grandfather had been rabbis. He early age, he decided not to follow in his family's rabbinical footsteps and study law, and himself would lead a completely secular life. Much of his work was dedicated to demonstrating that religious phenomena stemmed from social rather than divine factors. While Durkheim chose not to follow in the family tradition, he did not sever ties with his family or the Jewish community. Many of his most prominent collaborators and students were Jewish, and

A precocious student, Durkheim entered the École Normale Supérieure, succeeding only in his third attempt. The entering class that year was one of the most brilliant of the nineteenth century and many of his classmates, such as his classmates, such as , such as become major figures in France's intellectual history. At the École Normale, Durkheim studied under the direction of Numa Denis Fustel de Coulanges, a classicist with a social scientific outlook, and wrote his Latin dissertation on Montesquieu. At the same time, he read Durkheim became interested in a scientific approach to society very early on in his career. This meant the first of many conflicts with the French academic system, which had no social science curriculum at the time. Durkheim found humanistic studies uninteresting, turning his attention from ethics and eventually, sociology. He finished second to last in his graduating class when he philosophy in 1882.

There was no way that a man of Durkheim's views could receive a major academic appointment in Paris. From 1882 to 1887 he taught philosophy at several provincial schools. In 1885 he decided to leave for Germany, where for two years he studied sociology in Marburg.
Academic career

Durkheim's period in Germany resulted in the publication of numerous articles on German social science and philosophy; Durkheim was particularly impressed by the work of Wilhelm Wundt. He gained recognition in France, and he received a teaching appointment in the University of Bordeaux in 1887, where he was to teach the University's first social science course. His official title was *Chargé d'un Course de Science Social et de Pedagogie* and thus he taught both pedagogy (the latter had never been taught in France before). The appointment of the social scientist to the mostly humanistic faculty was an important change of times, and the growing importance and recognition of the social sciences. From this position Durkheim helped reform the French school system and introduced the study of social science in its curriculum. However, his controversial beliefs that religion and morality could be explained in terms purely of social interaction earned him many critics.

Also in 1887, Durkheim married Louise Dreyfus. They would have two children, Marie and André.

The 1890s were a period of remarkable creative output for Durkheim. In 1892, he published *Labour in Society*, his doctoral dissertation and fundamental statement of the nature of human society and its development. Durkheim's interest in social phenomena was spurred on by politics. France's defeat in the Franco-Prussian War led to the fall of the regime of Napoleon III, which was then replaced by the Republic. This in turn resulted in a backlash against the considered a vigorously nationalistic approach necessary to rejuvenate France's fading power. Durkheim, a Jew and a staunch supporter of the Third Republic with a sympathy towards socialism, was thus in the political minority, a situation which galvanized him politically. The his activist stance.

In 1895, he published *Rules of the Sociological Method*, and founded the first European depar
1898, he founded the *L'Année Sociologique*, the first French social science journal. Its aim was to publish and publicize the work of what was, by then, a growing number of students and collaborators (this is also the name used to refer to the group of students who developed his sociological program). Durkheim was familiar with several foreign languages and reviewed academic papers in German, English, and Italian for the journal.

In 1897, he published *Suicide*, a case study which provided an example of what the sociological monograph might look like. Durkheim was one of the pioneers of using criminology during his suicide case study.

By 1902, Durkheim had finally achieved his goal of attaining a prominent position in Paris when he became the chair of education at the *Sorbonne*. Durkheim aimed for the Parisian position earlier, but the Parisian faculty took longer to accept what some called "sociological imperialism" and admit social science to their curriculum. He became a full professor (Professor of the Science of Education) there in 1906, and in 1913 he was named Chair in "Education and Sociology". Because training secondary school teachers, this position gave him the only ones that were mandatory for the entire student generation of teachers; around that time he also served published his last major work, *The Elementary Forms of The Religious Life*.

The outbreak of World War I was to have a tragic effect on Durkheim's life. His rather than internationalist—he sought a secular, rational form of French life. But the coming of the war and the inevitable nationalist propaganda that followed made it difficult to sustain this already nuanced position.

While Durkheim actively worked to support his country, nationalist fervor (combined with his Jewish background) made him a natural target of the now-ascendant French Right. Even more seriously, the generation of students that Durkheim had trained were now being drafted to serve in the army, and many of them perished. Finally, Durkheim's own son, André, died on the war front in December 1915—a loss from which Durkheim never recovered.

Emotionally devastated, Durkheim collapsed of a stroke.

Marcel Mauss, a notable social anthropologist of the pre-war era, was his nephew.

**Durkheim's thought**

Throughout his career, Durkheim was concerned primarily with three goals. First, to establish sociology as a new academic discipline. Second, to analyze how societies could maintain their integrity and coherence in the modern era, when things such as shared religious and ethnic background could no longer be assumed; to...
that end he wrote much about the effect of laws, religion, education and similar forces on society and social integration. Lastly, Durkheim was concerned with the practical implications of scientific knowledge. The importance of social integration is expressed throughout Durkheim's work:

**Inspirations**

Early on, during his university studies at the Ecole, Durkheim was influenced by two neo-Kantian scholars, Charles Bernard Renouvier and Émile Boutroux. The principles Durkheim absorbed from the included rationalism, scientific study of morality, anti-utilitarianism influenced by Numa Denis Fustel de Coulanges, a supporter of the positivism of social sciences. According to Comte, a true social science should seek to extend and apply the scientific method from the relationship among these facts. There were many points on which Durkheim agreed with the positivist thesis. First, he accepted that the study of society was to be founded on an examination of facts. Second, like Comte, he acknowledged that the only valid guide to objective knowledge was the scientific method. Third, he agreed with Comte that the social sciences could become scientific only when they were stripped of their metaphysical abstractions and philosophical speculation. At the same time, Durkheim believed that Comte was still too philosophical.

A second influence on Durkheim's view of society beyond Comte's positivism was the epistemological outlook called social realism. Although Durkheim was a realist in order to demonstrate the existence of social realities existed in the form of the objective real world, realism can be defined as a perspective which takes as its central point of departure the view that external social realities exist in the outer world and that these realities exist in the form of the objective relation among these facts. This view opposes other predominant philosophical perspectives such as empiricism and positivism. Empiricists such as David Hume are products of human sense perception. According to empiricists, all realities are merely perceived; they do not exist independently of our perceptions, and have no causal power in themselves. Comte's positivism went a step further by claiming that scientific laws could be deduced from empirical observations. Going beyond this, Durkheim claimed that sociology would not only discover "apparent" laws, but would be able to discover the inherent nature of society.
Scholars also debate the exact influence of Jewish thought on Durkheim's work. The answer remains uncertain; some scholars have argued that Durkheim's influence is evident in his work, while others argue that proving the existence of a direct influence of Jewish thought on Durkheim's achievements is difficult or impossible.

**Establishing sociology**

Durkheim authored some of the most programmatic statements on what sociology is and how it should be practiced. His concern was to establish sociology as a science. Arguing for a place for sociology among other sciences he wrote:

To give sociology a place in the academic world and to ensure that it is a legitimate science, it must have an object that is clear and distinct from philosophy or psychology.

A fundamental aim of sociology is to discover structural...

Establishment of sociology as an independent, recognized academic discipline is amongst Durkheim's largest and most lasting legacies. Within sociology, his work has significantly influenced structural functionalism. Scholars inspired by Durkheim include Célestin Bouglé, Alfred Radcliffe-Brown, Talcott Parsons, Max Weber, hermeneutics, and postmodern theory.

**Methodology**

In his *Rules of the Sociological Method* (1895), Durkheim expressed his will to establish a methodology to guarantee sociology’s truly scientific character. One of the questions raised by the author concerns the objectivity of the sociologist: how may one study an object that, from the very beginning, conditions and relates to the observer? According to Durkheim, even though a "perfectly objective observation" in this sense may never be attained, a social fact must always be studied according to its relation with other social facts. Sociology should therefore privilege comparison rather than the study of singular independent facts.

It has been noted, at times with disapproval and amazement by non-French social scientists, that Durkheim traveled little and that, like many French scholars and the notable British anthropologist Sir Edward Berridge, he never undertook any fieldwork. The vast information Durkheim studied on the Australia and New Guinea and on the Inuit was all collected by other anthropologists, travelers, or missionaries.
This was not due to provincialism or lack of attention to venturesome and dogmatic generalizations while disregarding empirical observation. Durkheim maintained that concrete observation in remote parts of the world does not always lead to illuminating views on the past or even on the present. For him, facts had no intellectual meaning unless they were grouped into types and laws. He claimed repeatedly that it is from a construction erected on the knowledge of concrete reality that knowledge of concrete reality is obtained, a knowledge not perceived by observation of the facts from outside. He thus constructed concepts such as the sacred.

Durkheim sought to create one of the first rigorous scientific approaches to social phenomena. Along with Herbert Spencer, he was one of the first people to explain the existence and quality of different parts of society by reference to what function they served in maintaining the quotidian (i.e., by how they make society "work"). He also agreed with his organic analogy, comparing society to a living organism. Thus, his work is sometimes seen as a precursor to functionalism. Durkheim also insisted that society is not the sum of its parts.

Unlike his contemporaries Ferdinand Tönnies and Max Weber, he was one of the first people to explain the actions of individuals (an approach associated with methodological individualism) by reference to social facts.

Social facts

Durkheim’s work revolved around the study of social facts, a term he coined to describe phenomena that have an existence in and of themselves, are not bound to the actions of individuals, but have a coercive influence upon them. Durkheim argued that social facts are more objective than the actions of the individuals to explain the observed social phenomena. Being exterior, they exercise coercive power on the various people composing society, whether in the case of formal laws and regulations, but also in situations implying the presence of informal rules, such as religious rituals or family norms. Unlike the facts studied in a specific category of phenomena: Social facts are endowed with a power of coercion, by reason of which they may control individual behaviors. According to Durkheim, these phenomena cannot be reduced to psychological grounds. Social facts can be material (physical objects) or immaterial (meanings, sentiments, values).
etc.). The latter cannot be seen or touched, but they are gain "facticity". Physical objects can represent both material and immaterial social facts; for example a physical social fact that often has various immaterial social facts attached to it.

Many social facts, however, have no material form. Even the most "individualistic" or "subjective" phenomena, such as love, freedom or suicide, would be regarded by Durkheim as objective social facts. Individuals composing society do not directly cause suicide, it is a social fact of society, and is caused by other social facts (such as rules that determine what an individual likes it or not. Whether a person "leaves" a society or not, this society will still contain suicides. Suicide, like other immaterial social facts, exists independently of the will of an individual, cannot be eliminated, and is as influential as physical laws such as gravity.

Sociology's task thus consists of discovering the qualities and characteristics of such social facts, which can be discovered through a quantitative or experimental approach.

Society, collective consciousness and culture

Regarding the society itself, like social institutions in general, Durkheim saw it as a set of social facts. Even more than "what society is", Durkheim was interested in answering "how is a society created" and "what holds a society together". In his *Division of Labor in Society* he assumes that human values (collective consciousness) form the moral basis of the society, resulting in society and at the same time individuals produce collective consciousness through their interactions. Through collective consciousness human beings become aware of one another as social beings, not just animals. Collective consciousness is of key importance to the society, as it cannot survive. Collective consciousness produces the emotional part of the collective consciousness through which human beings become aware of one another as social beings.

In particular, the emotional part of the collective consciousness bound to culture, we act socially because we recognize it is the responsible, moral way to act. A key to forming society is social interaction, and Durkheim believes that human beings, when in a group, will inevitably act in such a way that a society is formed.

In this argument, Durkheim acknowledges the importance of another key social fact - the emotion when interacting, create their own culture and attach powerful emotions to it. He was one of the first scholars to consider the question of culture so intensely.
how the existence of diversity nonetheless fails to destroy a society. To that, Durkheim answered that any apparent cultural diversity is overridden by a larger, common, and more generalized cultural system, and the law.

In a socioevolutionary approach, Durkheim described the evolution from mechanical to organic solidarity (one rising from mutual need). As societies become more complex, evolving from mechanical to organic solidarity, the division of labor is counteracting and replacing collective consciousness. In the simpler societies, people are connected due to personal ties and traditions; in the larger, modern society they are connected due to increased reliance on others with regard to performing their specialized tasks needed for the modern society to survive. In mechanical solidarity, people are self-sufficient, there is little integration, and thus there is the need for use of force and repression to keep society together. Also, in such societies, people have much fewer options in life. In organic solidarity, people are much more integrated and interdependent, and specialization and cooperation is extensive. Progress from mechanical to organic solidarity is based first on increasing population density, second on increasing "morality density" (development of more complex social interactions) and thirdly, on the increasing specialization in workplace. One of the ways mechanical and organic societies differ is the function of law: in mechanical society, the law is focused on its punitive aspect, and aims to reinforce the cohesion of the community, often by making the punishment public and extreme; whereas in the organic society the law is focused on individuals than the community.

One of the main features of the modern, organic society is the importance, concept - social fact - of the individual. The individual, rather than the collective, becomes the focus of rights and responsibilities, the center of public and private rituals holding the society together - a function once performed by the religion. To stress the importance of this concept, Durkheim talked of the "cult of the individual":

Durkheim saw the population density and growth as key factors in the evolution of the society and advent of modernity. As the number of people in a given area increases, so does the number of interactions, and the society becomes more complex. Growing competition further division of labor. In time, the importance of the state, the law and the individual increases, while that of the religion and moral solidarity decreases.

In another example of evolution of culture, Durkheim pointed to a more cyclical phenomenon. According to Durkheim, fashion serves to differentiate between lower classes and upper classes, but because lower classes want to look like the upper classes, they will eventually adapt
the upper class fashion, depreciating it, and forcing the

Social pathologies and crime

As the society, Durkheim noted there are several possible integration and disintegration of the society: the two most important ones are labor; lesser ones include the lack of coordination and social rapid population growth reduces the amount of interaction between various groups, which in turns leads to a breakdown of understanding (norms, values, and so on) situation where power holders, driven by their desire for are unsuited for. Such people are unhappy, and their desire to change the system can destabilize the society.

Darwin's views on crime were a departure from conventional theories. He believed that crime is "bound up with the fundamental conditions of all social life" and serves a social function. He stated that crime implies, "not only that the way remains open to necessary changes but that in certain cases it directly prepares these changes." Examining the trial of Socrates, he argues that his crime, namely, the independence of his thought, rendered a service not only to humanity but to and faith that the Athenians needed". As such, his crime saw crime as being able to release certain social tension. He further stated that "the authority which the moral conscience no-one would dare to criticize it, and it would too easily congeal into an immutable form. To make progress, individual originality must be able to express itself...[even] the originality of the criminal... shall also be possible".

Suicide

In Suicide (1897), Durkheim explores the differing suicide rates among Catholics results in lower stronger social control among Catholics results in lower society has normal levels of integration while Protestant suicide as a social fact, explaining variations in its rate of phenomena such as lack of connections between people's behavior, rather than individual's feelings and motivati
were much more careful in generalizing from their own data. Second, later researchers found that the Protestant–Catholic differences in suicide seemed to be always have been the spurious reflection of other factors. Durkheim's study of suicide has been criticized as an example of the logical error termed the ecological fallacy whether Durkheim’s work really contained an ecological fallacy. More recent authors such as Berk (2006) have also questioned the micro-macro relations underlying Durkheim's study. Some, such as Inkeles (1959), Johnson (1965) and Gibbs (1968), have claimed that Durkheim's work really contained an ecological fallacy.

Despite its limitations, Durkheim's work on suicide has been mentioned as a classic sociological study. The book pioneered modern social science from psychology and political philosophy. Religion

In The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life, Durkheim aimed to identify the social origin and function of religion as he felt that religion was a source of camaraderie and solidarity. His second purpose was to identify links between certain religions in different cultures, finding a common denominator. He wanted to understand the empirical, social aspect of religion, beyond the concepts of spirituality and God.

Durkheim defined religion as

In this definition, Durkheim avoids references to supernatural which cannot be rationally explained from natural, that which cannot be rationally explained from natural, that which cannot be rationally explained from natural, that which cannot be rationally explained from natural. Similarly, he poi the concept of god exist, such as Buddhism, where the individual deity. With that, Durkheim argues, we are left with ideas that cannot be properly explained, inspire awe an devotion), the beliefs and practices (which create highly invest symbols with sacred importance), and the moral moral philosophy. Out of those three concepts, Durkheim saw religion as the most fundamental social institution.
other social forms. It was the religion that gave humanity the strongest sense of collective effervescence run high in the growing groups, sense of some hidden force driving them. Over time, as ritualized, religion became more organized, giving a rise to emotions as the religion became more organized, giving a rise to the division between the sacred and the profane. However, other social facts would eventually begin to eclipse the religion, and Durkheim believed that religion is becoming less important, and superseded by...

However, even if the religion is losing its importance, it still laid the foundation of our modern society and interactions that govern it. And despite the advent of alternative forces, Durkheim argues that no replacement for the force of religion has yet been created, and expresses his doubt about the modernity, seeing the modern times as "a period of transition and...

Durkheim also argued that our primary categories for understanding the world have their origins in religion. It is religion, Durkheim writes, that gave rise to most if not all other social constructs, including the larger society. Durkheim argued that categories are produced as people create societies, they also create categories, but the categories are prior to any individual's experience. In this way Durkheim attempted to bridge the divide between seeing categories as constructed out of human experience and as logically prior to that experience. Our understanding of the world is shaped by social facts measured through a calendar, which in turn was created to allow us to keep track of our social gatherings and rituals; those in turn on their most basic level originated from religion. In the end, even the most logical and rational pursuit of science can trace its origins to religion. Durkheim states that, "Religion gave birth to all that is essential in the society.

In his work, Durkheim focused on totemism, the religion. Durkheim saw totemism as the most ancient religion, a ease the discussion of the essential elements of religion.
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