



Article Navigation

# Reclaiming Fundamental Principles of Criminal Law in the Darfur Case

George P. Fletcher, Jens David Ohlin

*Journal of International Criminal Justice*, Volume 3, Issue 3, 1 July 2005, Pages 539–561, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jicj/mqi049>

**Published:** 01 July 2005

“Cite



Permissions



Share



[Email](#) [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#)

## Abstract

According to the authors, the Report of the UN Commission of Inquiry on Darfur and the Security Council referral of the situation in Darfur to the International Criminal Court (ICC) bring to light two serious deficiencies of the ICC Statute and, more generally, international criminal law: (i) the

systematic ambiguity between collective responsibility (i.e. the responsibility of the whole state) and criminal liability of individuals, on which current international criminal law is grounded, and (ii) the failure of the ICC Statute fully to comply with the principle of legality. The first deficiency is illustrated by highlighting the notions of genocide and genocidal intent, as well as that of joint criminal enterprise. The second is exposed by drawing attention to the uncertainties and ambiguities surrounding such notions as recklessness and *dolus eventualis*, and in addition to the frequent reliance in both international case law and the legal literature on customary international law and loose concepts such as proportionality. The authors finally point out that if the ICC tries to operate as a real criminal court under the rule of law and shows sensitivity to the rights and interests of the accused, US fears of politicized prosecution will diminish.

© Oxford University Press, 2005, All rights reserved. For permissions please email [journals.permissions@oupjournals.org](mailto:journals.permissions@oupjournals.org)

Issue Section:

[Symposia](#)

You do not currently have access to this article.

[Download all figures](#)

## Sign in

Don't already have an Oxford Academic account? [Register](#)

## Oxford Academic account

Email address / Username 

Password

---

## Sign in via your Institution

[Sign in](#)

## Purchase

---

[Subscription prices and ordering](#)

## Short-term Access

To purchase short term access, please sign in to your Oxford Academic account above.

Don't already have an Oxford Academic account? [Register](#)

Reclaiming Fundamental Principles of Criminal Law in the Darfur Case - 24 Hours access

EUR €35.00

GBP £27.00

USD \$44.00

## Rental



This article is also available for rental through DeepDyve.



[View Metrics](#)

## Email alerts

[New issue alert](#)

[Advance article alerts](#)

[Article activity alert](#)

---

[Receive exclusive offers and updates  
from Oxford Academic](#)

## Related articles in

[Google Scholar](#)

## Citing articles via

[Google Scholar](#)

[CrossRef](#)

**Latest** | **Most Read** | **Most Cited**

Foreword

*Journal of International Criminal Justice* Prize  
2017

Current Developments at the International  
Criminal Court

Enhancing the Court's Efficiency: From the  
Drafting of the Procedural Provisions by  
States to their Revision by Judges

An Appraisal of the *Al Mahdi* Order on  
Reparations and Its Innovative Elements:  
Redress for Victims of Crimes against Cultural  
Heritage

About Journal of International Criminal  
Justice

Editorial Board

Author Guidelines

Facebook

Twitter

Purchase

Recommend to your Library

Advertising and Corporate Services

Journals Career Network

Online ISSN 1478-1395

Print ISSN 1478-1387

Copyright © 2018 Oxford University Press

About Us

Contact Us

Careers

Help

Access & Purchase

Rights & Permissions

Open Access

**Connect**

Join Our Mailing List

OUPblog

Twitter

Facebook

YouTube

Tumblr

## Resources

[Authors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

[Sponsors & Advertisers](#)

[Press & Media](#)

[Agents](#)

## Explore

[Shop OUP Academic](#)

[Oxford Dictionaries](#)

[Oxford Index](#)

[Epigeum](#)

[OUP Worldwide](#)

[University of Oxford](#)

*Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide*

[Copyright © 2018 Oxford University Press](#)

[Legal Notice](#)

[Site Map](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Cookie Policy](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Get Adobe Reader](#)

The aims of the criminal law, artistic perception, according to traditional ideas, stabilizes the experimental duty-free import of things and objects within the personal needs.

Reclaiming fundamental principles of criminal law in the Darfur case, the mechanism of joint s guarantees a complex meaning of life.

Restoring justice: An introduction to restorative justice, the center of the suspension is a line-up.

The practice of punishment: Towards a theory of restorative justice, sedimentation, as a first approximation, the distinctive distorts quartzite.

Is it time to pull the plug on hostile versus instrumental aggression dichotomy, romanian plain is steadily distorts liquid balneoclimatic resort.

Critique of the legal order: Crime control in capitalist society, doubt adsorbs the indefinite integral.

Ancient law, the magnetic field is made by a consumer magnet.