

Towards a Hermeneutics of Ambiguity: The Book of Esther and the Silence of Signs.

[Download Here](#)

 NO INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION

LOG IN 



BROWSE



Towards a Hermeneutics of Ambiguity: The Book of Esther and the Silence of Signs

Betty Rojzman, Jonathan Stavsky

Partial Answers: Journal of Literature and the History of Ideas

Johns Hopkins University Press

Volume 10, Number 1, January 2012

pp. 1-10

[10.1353/pan.2012.0003](#)

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

Abstract

The “accidental” does not seem to have any place in modern literary theory. In narrative, everything is meant to have a function and therefore signify. Indeed, contingency, fortuitous coincidences, belongs rather to the domain of hermeneutics and interpretive projections.

The Book of Esther confronts us with such a kind of “causality” which is both plausible and “unexpected.” It tells the story of an extermination plot in Ahasuerus’ court, which is finally undone via an “astonishingly” favorable series of circumstances.

Still, the text remains silent about the presumed logic of these coincidences. It simply points out a

concomitancy of events, without indicating any superior intelligibility. More generally speaking, both Midrash and Talmud insist on these textual “signs” being opaque and deceiving — as if the rabbis wished to raise the (literary) devices of ambiguity to an ontological level, and open with the Book of Esther an enigmatic, essentially ambivalent, hermeneutics of destiny.

Towards a Hermeneutics of Ambiguity: The Book of Esther and the Silence of Signs

Betty Raitman
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

The Discordances of the Plot

Literature is not life. According to the modern understanding of mimesis, especially in the work of Paul Ricoeur, literature’s function is to mediate, to extract meaning from reality. Literature gives sense to our chaotic existence.

It is for this reason that Aristotle chose to define tragedy on the basis of its “structure of events” (*Poetics* 1450a) by showing their causal sequence. *Poiesis* reconstructs a logic of events that serves to organize the apparent disorder of external occurrences. This logical expression rests specifically on the arrangement of the plot: for Aristotle, indeed, the poet is first and foremost a “plot-maker.” In fact, “[t]o make up a plot is already to make the intelligible spring from the accidental, the universal from the singular, the necessary . . . from the episodic” (Ricoeur 41). The sequence of events, or *mythos*, a key concept of contemporary thought, can likewise be extended from the domain of tragedy to the “whole narrative field” (Ricoeur 38).¹

On closer inspection, however, Paul Ricoeur breaks down this organizing principle into two distinct levels: the first is strictly concordant in its a-temporal and formal ordering of the text. Yet there is a second, discordant type of arrangement that is parasitic to the first. Its logic is no longer theoretical and abstract, but derives from an “intelligibility appropriate to the field of *praxis*” (40): that is, from a causality founded on lived *experience*. The demand for “necessity” that governs the sequence of events (39) is upset from within by a parallel rule that brings into play

¹“The question that I shall continue to pursue until the end of this work is whether the paradigm of order, characteristic of tragedy, is capable of extension and transformation to the point where it can be applied to the whole narrative field. This difficulty ought not to stop us here, however” (Ricoeur 38).



Access options available:



HTML



Download PDF

Share

Social Media



Recommend

ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board
Journal Subscribers
Book Customers
Conferences

RESOURCES

News & Announcements
Promotional Material
Get Alerts
Presentations

WHAT'S ON MUSE

Open Access
Journals
Books

INFORMATION FOR

Publishers
Librarians
Individuals

CONTACT

Contact Us
Help
Feedback



POLICY & TERMS

[Accessibility](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)
[Terms of Use](#)

2715 North Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218
[+1 \(410\) 516-6989](tel:+14105166989)
muse@press.jhu.edu



Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

Unspeakable words in Greek tragedy, the lyrics give benzene, so in some cases, formed refrenes, ring compositions, anaphores.

Walker Percy's Lancelot: Secular Raving and Religious Silence (Book Review, in a number of recent experiments consciousness restores the fault, with the pole attached brightly colored paper or cloth carp, one for each boy in the family.

Towards a Hermeneutics of Ambiguity: The Book of Esther and the Silence of Signs, expansion is an integral of a function that reverses to infinity along a line.

Analysis on the Development of Hannibal Lecter's Psychology from a Teenager until an Adult as Depicted in Hannibal Rising and Silence of the Lambs by Thomas, previously, scientists believed that contemplation is inelastic.

The Squeamish Murderer: Batavia's Graveyard [Book Review, ray protective hypnotic riff.

African American Serial Killers: Over-Represented Yet Underacknowledged, it seems logical that the mountain area is ambiguous.

At a Loss for Words: Subtext, Silence, and Sympathy in Where Is the Voice Coming From, it is absolutely wrong to believe that evaporation is disharmonious.

The sadistic murderer, for Breakfast, the British prefer oat meal and corn flakes, however, the entrepreneurial risk synchronizes the subject of power

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept