Total Rhetoric, Limited War: Germany's U-Boat Campaign 1917-1918.

Our armies might advance a mile a day and we blockade the enemy to his knees, or whether he does the same to us.

Admiral David Beatty
January 27, 1917

Imagine this country's sufferings after four years: cattle 32 percent. The weekly per capita consumption of meat reduced from 1,050 grams to 135; the amount of available milk by half. Women's mortality up 51 percent; that of children under five 50 percent. Tubercular-related deaths up 72 percent; the birthrate down by half. Rickets, influenza, ulceration of the eyes, and hunger edema a common occurrence. Marketing, and hoarding widespread. And 730,000 deaths from the wartime blockade. This country is not "perfidious Albion," but rather Imperial Germany. The suffering caused was not by unrestricted submarine warfare, but rather by a surface blockade that, in the eyes of Jay Winter, did not fall short of being a war crime. Thus, we may well ask how unrestricted submarine warfare?

The Definition

The concept of "total war" is a vexing one. Does it require "total" application of all available armed force? Does it require "total" political aims, that is, annihilation of the adversary? Does it translate into what John victory? Reference guides offer little assistance.

American Joint Chiefs of Staff in Washington, D.C., under "total war" reads: "The term is propagandistic and literary..."
For the purpose of assessing the "process of unrestricted submarine campaign of 1917, Clausewitz in Book Eight, Chapter Two, of (of reference,) as a "state of absolute perfection" of wars by." A nation or ruler seeking to approach this ideal-type method, Clausewitz stated, needed to wage war "without respite until the enemy succumbed," that is, with all until one side dictated political terms to the other. In tempered by "extraneous matters" such as of man."

Few military leaders read Clausewitz; even Tirpitz, the architect of Germany’s High Sea in the first great naval battle" of a war. In o "absolute" or "total" war. And General Eri War, allowed that the very concept simply in the book championed unrestricted subm

The Vision

The first torpedo in the great debate over December 22, 1914. During an interview published by the Berlin representative of United Press, the grand admiral threatened "total" submarine warfare whether Germany truly intended to blockade the utmost, why not? - England wants to starve us into submission; we can play the same game, blockade England and destroy each and every ship with of "setting London in flames in a hundred places" of blockade would be more effective."

A fellow naval officer, Captain Magnus von Levetzow shortly after the start of the war gained in Arthur Conan Doyle. In 1913 the creator of "England’s Peril," wherein he suggests defeated by eight (!) small hostile submarine merchant shipping. Levetzow passed the piece on to Fleet Commander Admiral Reinhard Scheer as well as to Kaiser Wilhelm II, and thereby claimed the title of "midwife to unrestricted submarine warfare."

In an even more bizarre way, Rear-Admiral Karl Hollweg wanted Germany to turn to unrestricted service at Berlin in 1916, Hollweg experienced a quasi-theological "vision" when reciting the words "Power and Glory" of the Lord’s Prayer. "I us the power for the will to victory, Thou Governor of After the war, Allied leaders in their memoirs of winning the war by way of the U-boats. They met him when he arrived a winning" the war. "They will win," Admira losses [603,000 tons in March] - and stc solutions to the problem, the first sea lo submarines. On April 27, he cried out in exa of the submarine threat. "Disaster is cert: disaster and it is useless and dangerous in Admiral Sir David Beatty, was fully convic on and below the seas.
Nor were the sailors alone in their gloom. Prime submarine campaign . . . very nearly achieved the destruction of Britain’s sea power.” And the eminently quotable Winston Churchill confirmed every U-boater’s view of the war. “It was in greatest conflict ever decided at sea.” Terming unrestricted “among the most heart-shaking episodes been within their grasp in the spring of 19 the British islands, but the foundations of began to loom black and imminent.” In short, the Admiralty that Germany had crossed th

The Promise

There can be no question that the promise of “total” war. Success by slide-rule calculations was guaranteed. While there exist countless memoranda, both official and private, on the issue of unrestricted submarine warfare, for our analyze the best known and most critical memorandum on the subject Holtzendorff on December 22, 1916. Therein, the chief of the be forced to sue for peace within five mon months (Holtzendorff). Assuming that Britain would be estimated at 1.2 million tons, of neutral shipping would of German bottoms interned in neutral port 39 percent decline in tonnage available to s London would be "in the grip of that fear Holtzendorff confidently accepted "the cost nor American money could arrive in Europe was seconded by the Army Supreme (Ludendorff), the Navy Office (Admiral E Levetzow).

For, Holtzendorff offered a "new" concept decade had passed since U-1 had gone down the slip in 1906. New also was the form of blockade—siege warfare at sea, wherein submarines replaced battering rams, catapults, towers, Greek fire, and whereby ships and cargoes were to b compilations, by both naval and civilian ex political victory. New was the very concep this kind of economic blockade. And nev children in particular were targeted for star The U-war reduced German strategy to one

But how had the admiral arrived at his blue root? And how accurate were they?

Another new element: Holtzendorff had gathered in experts—the equivalent of a modern-day th the Discontogesellschaft-Magdeburg; the F of the Berliner Tageblatt, Otto Jhlinger; the Professor Bruno Harms of Kiel University. Holtzendorff’s memorandum of December the worlds of finance (Merk, Fink & Co. a Norddeutsche Bank at Hamburg, and Zuckschwerdt & Beuchel at Magdeburg), industry (Phoenix Mines and Foundries at Hrde, Good Hope Foundry at Oberhausen, and Hoesch Iron & Steel at agriculture (Chamber of Agriculture in Anh
from Dirschau). In short, the admiral made his case with a section of Germany’s leading financial, commercial, agrarian, and industrial leaders. For the first time in modern German history, a national grand strategy was devised by committee. The statistics that buttressed the official Admiral These included not only the London Times Economist, the Spectator, and the parliamentary Seed & Oil Reporter, the Corn Trade News, the grain experts on the German Frankfurter The various memoranda all agreed, to varying degrees on points. First was that the war had to be brought to an end “by the autumn of 1917” as otherwise it would “result in the exhaustion of all the belligerents,” which Holtzendorff saw as being “fatal prediction of victory through the U-boats strategical views. Second, Holtzendorff and his paladins agr machinery; if it is once thrown into disorder, malfunctions, friction, and breakage will set in motion without end.” “Disorder” caused by raw materials and food shortages would bring the British a grinding halt within five months of unrest. Third, the German experts agreed that Britain... Germany). London lacked the requisite local authorities to enforce controls; “the authority” to implement and to carry out central directives “is lacking”; and the British people “have not the meet such a crisis.” In other words, the British national war effort and rising laborers. Fourth, Professor Levy, basing his research on the Food of 1903-05, convinced the Admiralty important cereal.” Holtzendorff and Levy calculated precisely that Britain, which consumed 141,500 tons per week, at present levels of supply and reserves, would fall 114,300 tons short of demand each differently, present provisions and reserves and would soon fall to half due to a bad harvest in 1916. Australia, India, and Argentina would double the routes. All this would translate into food riots and labor unrest. “The psychological effect upon Englishmen” of drastically reduced foodstuffs, Holtzendorff crowed, “is of no less importance than direct result upon imports.” Fifth, Holtzendorff and Department B1 followed the financial burden imposed by increased immediately, Britain’s balance of payment fall with English exports.” But even more important, Manitoba Nr. 1 Wheat had gone up 258 percent since the start of the war; bread, butter, than doubled in price since 1914; barley and oats were up 250 percent; milk and eggs stood at twice their peacetime levels; cold storage meat tripled, and herring increased 600 percent in cost. Sixth, the Germans were mesmerized with British coal. Scandinavian pit-prop timber (Grubenhobel) bread of commercial life.” The price of coal on its part, drew half of its wood from Scand...
sinking rapidly; the price of Scandinavia, Holtzendorff opined, "are poor." In other words, without a steady supply of Scandinavian wood, Britain's coal industry threatened to collapse.

Seventh, and perhaps most critically, the shipping tonnage under a microscope. According to merchant shipping; by late redirection of bottoms to "other tasks." Specifically, shipping had been requisitioned for "milit" million tons were under repair, and that 2 paper just 8 million tons. But closer ex of September 1916 showed that the real total was the 900,000 tons of enemy shipping tradi London could command at best 10.75 million.

This was the prey of the U-boats. For every "grip of fear" would guarantee the success of Heavy weather, inexperienced merchant captains; anticipated congestion in ports would milit welcome sight"--a target-rich environment--

Eighth, Holtzendorff tied unrestricted submarine power. Since the High Sea Fleet had remain on energetic action at sea, and this could direction in 1897; the Reich's "economic and was but one alternative: destruction of Brita submarine war is the proper and only mean memorandum by "guaranteeing" that "the knees." Almost at the same time, he submit government.

With regard to force size, it should be pointed issue in an impenetrable fog of uncertainty Hollweg had been forced to call a press cc that Germany was about to launch unreserved leader of the pivotal Center Party, Matthias more U-boats." And Grand Admiral von Ti construction for fear of thereby watering experiments," did nothing to lay to rest st sent a deputy, Lieutenant-Commander He had "54 U-boats in commission" and "2C "total" force being on hand for "total" effort

The formal decision to launch the U-boat admirals at Pless on January 9, 1917. It i volume stress the "acceleration of time"--that to make decisions of immense importance (Hundred Years' War, Thirty Years' War, submarine warfare. The issue had been de 1915; Holtzendorff had taken sixteen mo Officers, statesmen, politicians, and journa other issue during the war. Rationality had conclusion. And the Admiralty Staff's coun offered voluminous statistical material to b
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The fact remains that, in the final analysis, 
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Third, the British national character likewise predicted. Price mechanisms enabled Britain's economy to substitute commodities: London introduced mild forms of rationing, and by adding other types of flour to wheat created a so-called "war bread" that in 1917-8 saved about thirteen weeks' consumption of wheat flour. In a strange turn outdid the Germans when it came to "war socialism" and Olsen first argued, and as several others papers in this volume show), the government created a Ministry of Shipping and a Food Production Department, and appointed a "food controller" who by the end of war oversaw 90 percent of the nation's imports and bought and sold 85 percent of the country. In an almost obscene fashion even given the absence of 13,000 doctors cardiovascular diseases, diarrheal disease 1912, 1913, and 1914. The mortality rate du did that among women during childbirth among civilians was probably higher than i

Fourth, and most critically of all, Holtzendorff and accurately the bulk of statistical materials on they assumed that there was no alternative Additionally, they failed to recognize that dl (compared to 308 acres in Germany and 468 acres in France). the belief that the Royal Navy could at all devoted to cultivated crops 1915 than there had been before 1913. Obviously, cultivation could be increased under a Cultivation of Lands Order in 1917 million acres of grasslands under the plow; planned for 1919. While this reduced meat by 2.3 million tons. Urban "garden allotments" which brought the most inclement harvest levels by 1 million tons, oats by 1.4 million that Britain turned almost 4 million acres o the last two years of the war.

Another cardinal miscalculation by Adm production. By assuming 1916 wheat ou appreciate that 1916 was an off-year due to annual production in 1913, 1914, and 1915 crop remained almost the same as that of 1 bushels). The rye harvest steadily increased to 91 million in 1918. American wheat an prewar levels. Moreover, the "total war" ac from the 1915 wheat crop on July 1, 1916 s still measured 55.9 million.

Fifth, the unrestricted U-boat war did not cause vast and violent labor unrest. To be sure, j alcoholic beverages, beer, coffee, sugar, ch were not adversely affected because of gen flour, and milk by British working-class fan had been before 1914; that of bacon, potato

Nor did the predicted surplus of unemplo Quite the contrary. With millions of young United Kingdom actually experienced an hard-pressed to make up for the shortage
men, and prisoners of war for agriculture alone. The remaining labor force have no problem absorbing available paying jobs in the industrial and communications sectors. In short, modern time have no problem absorbing available labor.

Sixth, Holtzendorff's and Ludendorff's curious British mines failed to hold. Once again, statistics proved whatever case their authors wished them to make. First and foremost, given that coal mining was a crucial war industry, Britain assigned top priority to pit-props, thus guaranteeing their availability. Domestic housing construction was granted priority, thus saving the wood thus saved was diverted to mines. Even Britain could conceivably have turned to the forest reserves of the Channel with impunity. The hard reality of the commencement of unrestricted U-boat warfare, Germany had to conclude agreements with the major European neutrals--Denmark, the Netherlands, and the Scandinavian countries--that allowed them to maintain their trade (including wood and food) with Britain.

Seventh, the Germans erred terribly in the Britain. They failed entirely to take into account world tonnage, which was about twice as large. Nor did they anticipate that London could requisition neutral and interned German ships, conscript their crews, and set and enforce uniform insurance rates. Although convoy first of twelve to twenty, thirty to forty vessels protected by an escort screen did not really reverse the tide of arrivals and departures, special transport workers' battalions eliminated anticipated congestion in British ports. And more lengthy sea routes to Australia, India, and South America.

Above all, the nature of merchant cargoes, von Holtzendorff and his civilian experts failed to understand that Britain's daily needs of 15,000 tons of grain could be delivered by a mere four ships. Or that the government would simply give grain cargoes higher priority, thus assuring the national cereals supply.

In fact, as the U-boat historian Bodo Herzog has oats for its race horses! No one in Berlin had dreamed that Britain, basically by adjusting production and consumption at home, would eventually free up 6.7 million tons of shipping--sufficient to transport 1.3 million American soldiers to France.

Eighth, the politics of unrestricted submarine war. British coal mines did not close due to lack of pit-props. Allied and neutral ships ply the Atlantic: only 393 of the 95,000 ships convoyed across the Atlantic transport was torpedoed en route to France. No major skilled labor developed. No public panic ensued.

Ironically, the Russian Empire collapsed just two submarine campaign on February 1, 1917. Then, as expected, on April 6 the United States entered the war, thereby turning the tide against Germany. By the summer of 1918, half a million American soldiers manned the front lines. They arrived in France at the rate of 10,000 per day. A cargo or transport the eastern seaboard of the United States for France every five hours. Almost "doughboys" escorted to France by the U.S. Navy sailed had been interned in American ports and later seized by the American government.

Finally, Germany never managed to mount the internal study by Lieutenant Ulrich-Eberhard Blum of the Submarine Inspectorate at Kiel in May-June 1914 had estimated that at least 222 U-boats would be required for an underwater offensive shipping in the waters surrounding the British Islands, Germany never even remotely approached this figure. For much of 1915, when the clamor for unrestricted submarine
Germany had available in both the Atlantic and the Mediterranean theaters on average 48 boats; and the following year, when public speculation went as high as 300 U-boats, the average monthly total was 58 craft.

Most of the Reich’s treasure, labor, and raw materials instead went into army production auspices of the "Hindenburg Program" an 1917, the date on which Holtzendorff’s stood at only 111 boats, of which 82 were keeps in mind that at any given time one another one-third were going to or return mere 32 boats were on patrol in the North ! to bring Britain "to its knees." Moreover, or Britain’s west coast. In short, there existe structure.

Nor did the U-boat force appreciate significantly debate over unrestricted submarine warfa purpose. A mere 29 craft were ordered in 1 building contract was placed in the critical of the boats ordered in and after May 1916 we

Still, German yards proved unable to meet U-boat production consistently failed to completed on time; 50 were six months bel Boat Office to regulate the purchase, const December 5, 1917--four months after Het "Scheer program" of the autumn of 1918, national placebo, a propaganda effort to s volumes for the "blue-water" mentality of unrestricted submarine campaign, in the sj great deal of time pondering the construct the war. What in October he termed "ur promotions and battlefleet symmetry.

**The Verdict**

Was Germany’s unrestricted submarine warthe rhetoric was "total," the reality was li civilian experts sought "total" victory over British women and children and worker shipping--merchant as well as war, neut flagrantly ignored (indeed, violated) estab were viewed by Berlin as targets on an e question that, as Wolfgang J. Mommsen 1 qualitative shift in strategic thought" insofa

The cold-blooded calculus behind Holtz and neutral ships, women and children were front-line troops. Septic columns of merc Measures of caloric intake by Britain’s war rehabilitated, of shells produced and fired romanticism. No adventure. No individuali target--in this case, torpedoes against ste crisp charts of Allied Bomber Command in Defense Robert McNamara during the bot worked in none of the cases cited. War rema
Germany’s unrestricted submarine campaign was twenty or thirty 500 to 700 ton U-boats on station in the vast expanses of water around the British Isles simply were insufficient to do the job. As one of Holtzendorff’s principal intuitive experts, Dr. conceded: "The U-war was never unrestricted."

In the end, only death was "total": the U-Boat service lost 5,249 sailors (one-half of its total force) in 199 U-boats at sea. It remained for another world war and another admiral to statistics.
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