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Zach Dundas’s study begins where most Sherlock Holmes tales commence: in the great detective’s rooms at 221B Baker Street. Well,
not 221B Baker Street, of course, but the oft-visited contemporary recreation of those rooms in Marylebone, London. Among other details, Dundas notes how the chamber “walls bore florid, red-flocked paper, punctuated by shelves overflowing with dusty and battered books,” with a “pair of fusty old chairs flank[ing] the hearth.” We are not in the Marylebone rooms long, however, before we are quickly transported to a children’s museum in Portland, Oregon, where Dundas visits “a series of half-darkened rooms … lined with ancient tomes and strange apparatuses, once more centered on a fire’s hearth and mantle and two empty armchairs, obviously placed for the cozy convenience of two intimates.” From here, we move quickly yet again, this time to another spot in Portland, an empty theater that “had a familiar look to it. Flocked wallpaper. Fireplace. Jackknife. Persian slipper. Violin.” Standing in this “slightly ersatz reconstruction of a place that never existed,” Dundas experiences a “curious sensation” that leads him to an even more curious question: “What combination of forces [has] impelled so many people in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries to rebuild, often to obsessive detail, the headquarters of a Victorian detective who never existed?” However, as in any good Holmes tale, the initial puzzle leads to a “bigger mystery”: “why have Sherlock Holmes, John Watson, and the mysteries Conan Doyle challenged them to solve not only endured, but thrived?”

The unconventional opening pages of Dundas’s study, and the bigger mystery he places at the heart of that study, may give many readers a “curious sensation” of their own. And that is exactly how Dundas would have it. Part literary criticism, part cultural history, part autobiography, part fan letter, The Great Detective: The Amazing Rise and Immortal Life of Sherlock Holmes is as “endlessly elastic” as the hero whose rise and life it purports to tell. Fortunately, Dundas alerts us to this [End Page 235] elasticity early on, letting us know that his study will follow multiple and shifting trails, beginning with Conan Doyle as “he crafts Sherlock’s saga in the heart of Victoriana,” but moving quickly to seek out the “famous and anonymous” who over the years are found “collaborating, appropriating, mocking, celebrating, borrowing, and sometimes stealing outright” from the original stories. Moreover, his study will jump from the “places that
shaped Conan Doyle’s work in the first place” to “scenes the author
never could have imagined,” moving freely back and forth across time and
place, contrasting summaries of the original stories with personal
reminiscences, and mixing accounts of Victorian history with evaluations
of twenty-first-century television dramas. Dundas justifies this approach,
appropriately enough, by noting how it follows the methods of the great
detective himself. For Dundas, Holmes is no scientific detective. Yes,
Conan Doyle “sequined his plots with elaborate vignettes in which
Holmes tinkers with his chemistry set,” but more important is how
Holmes “reads this and that, sits around and stares into space, plays the
violin, smokes a lot of tobacco, goes to concerts, and plans dinner
parties. He dresses up in funny outfits and wanders down the street. He
buys people drinks and chats them up.” Contra the official police, who
“follow standard rules and procedures,” Holmes “investigates trifling
stuff he notices on the windowsill, what the groom said in between
beers, something he remembers reading about once, or a dog that did
or didn’t bark in the night.” Holmes’s methods, then, are “somewhat
bohemian,” and part of Dundas’s strategy in *The Great Detective* is to craft
a study equally bohemian, or “at least [to] fake it.”

The result may not be for everyone (especially for those looking for an
in-depth literary study), but approached in the right spirit (a bohemian
spirit perhaps?), this is an engaging and rewarding account of the
Sherlock Holmes phenomenon. Dundas is a...
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