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Othello, realizing that Iago has enticed him into murdering his peerless wife, famously observes, "I look down towards his feet, but that's a fable. / [To IAGO] If that thou beest a devil I cannot kill thee. [He wounds IAGO]" (Othello, 5.2.292-93). This notion, that the devil's feet are cloven, has prompted many critics, especially the old New Critics and literary historians, to expound upon the devil imagery in Othello. Once identified as "a devil figure," Iago becomes a fit subject for the study of "evil," or for the dramatic type, the vice, from which he was drawn, as in Bernard Spivack's Shakespeare and the Allegory of Evil. In like manner, the play's multiple allusions to witchcraft are frequently removed from any literal frame of reference by the sophisticated operations of literary criticism, which then transpose the play's meaning to symbolic or allegorical planes, as in Robert B. Heilman's "Wit and Witchcraft," which makes the term witchcraft—the very antithesis of "wit" and reason—a symbolic proxy for love.

This manner of treating the demonic and supernatural as universal symbols, fully applicable to the modern condition, draws attention away from significant features of early modern play texts, in particular their referencing of a literal supernatural reality. Faustus uses a language much like Othello's to opine, "I think he'll's a fable," but then he must ponder Mephistophilis's rejoinder, "Ay, think so still, till experience change thy mind" (Dr. Faustus, sc. 5, 128-29). Both Dr. Faustus and Othello give us a good deal more than artistic imagery; they dramatize a metaphysic that trembles between belief and skepticism and all that follows from either. This "liminal metaphysics," if I may call it that, is a recurring pattern in Elizabethan-Jacobean drama. It reappears in Hamlet's tireless efforts to determine the spiritual nature—and thus the veracity—of his father's Ghost who manifests himself to several characters but speaks only to Hamlet. The uncomfortable presence of preternatural powers appears in Shakespeare's not-quite-natural representation of the Hag witches in Macbeth (despite a modern predilection to explain their presence, without supernatural appeal, in psychological or psychoanalytic terms). The Second Maiden's Tragedy along with The Winter's Tale engage the issue of whether remorse and repentance over the deceased can bring these longed-for persons or their spirits back to the world. Plays such as Middleton's The Witch and Dekker, Rowley, and Ford's The Witch of Edmonton confront directly—and answer ambiguously or inconsistently—the question of whether witches, demons, and conjurers can play havoc in the natural world.

These examples suggest the scope of an issue I wish to treat with care in respect to John Webster's The Duchess of Malfi. I have selected this tragedy because it exhibits as compellingly as any other early modern text I know the consequences of living in a world where the possibilities of spiritual intervention and demonic possession are continually in play. The Duchess also affords the best opportunity, I think, to reengage the early modern past by apprehending ways of feeling and believing (or almost not believing) that are largely foreign to our own manner of perceiving. In treating this particular tragedy, I propose to demonstrate that the old New Critics and to a lesser degree the cultural materialist criticism of our own day have in their discrete ways distorted or foreclosed a historicized engagement with early modern affectivity, a feature of the drama that is, I believe, crucial to our historical understanding.

John Webster's The Duchess of Malfi has enjoyed a long but volatile critical history. Evaluation of it has ranged from ridicule of its lack of unity and Gothic excesses to the...
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