Executive Summary

When first-time homebuyers begin shopping for a house, they need to learn about mortgages for which they can qualify and about house prices they can afford. This information can be provided by a variety of different sources, including mortgage lending institutions, real estate agents, and mortgage brokers. But if potential homebuyers cannot obtain full and fair access to information about mortgage financing, they may give up on their pursuit of homeownership, their housing search may be restricted, or they may be unable to negotiate the most favorable loan terms. Thus, pre-application inquiries about mortgage financing options represent a critical phase in the homebuying process.
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In a paired test, two individuals—one white and one minority—pose as homebuyers and inquire about the availability and terms for home mortgage loans. Because the two members of a tester team present themselves as equally qualified borrowers in every respect except their race or ethnicity, systematic differences in the treatment they receive provide direct evidence of adverse treatment discrimination. Paired testing has been used widely to detect and measure discrimination by rental and sales agents, but only a few, relatively small-scale investigative studies have been applied to mortgage lending.

This study consisted of two major stages—a pre-test stage and wide variety of paired testing scenarios, and to assess the feasibility of testing several different sources of mortgage financing information. More specifically, we conducted tests of mortgage lending institutions, mortgage brokers, real estate agents, new construction sales agents, and mobile home sales agents.

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development conducted paired testing for determining whether minority homebuyers receive the same treatment and information as whites at the pre-application phase of the mortgage lending process, and to produce rigorous measures of the incidence of unequal treatment in two metropolitan areas. The mortgage lending process consists of a complex series of stages, including advertising and outreach by lending institutions, responses to pre-application inquiries from potential borrowers, approval or denial of loan applications and determination of loan terms and conditions, and finally, loan administration. These stages may take different forms at different stages.1
conducted approximately 250 paired tests of a representative sample of mortgage lending institutions in Los Angeles, California and Chicago, Illinois, using a standardized set of protocols in order to yield statistically rigorous measures of discrimination against African Americans and Hispanics in these two metropolitan housing markets.

**Paired Testing Can Be an Effective Tool for Research and Enforcement**

In the first stage of this study, a total of 78 tests were conducted in two markets—Orange County, California and New Orleans, Louisiana. Because the format of these tests, and the information sources they targeted were so varied, they cannot be used to produce statistical measures of the extent of discrimination. Nevertheless, the pre-tests do provide important insights about the forms that mortgage lending discrimination may take and about methods for conducting effective testing.

The intensive pre-testing effort found that rigorous paired testing is feasible—though difficult—for all the information sources we considered. The pilot stage focused on mortgage lending institutions, because of the central role they play in the sector. Research and enforcement efforts can and should explore other information sources, including mortgage brokers and referrals by real estate agents. More research is also needed to fully understand and ethno-differences in information sources and search strategies.

The pre-test effort also found that one of the most serious forms of discrimination that can be discerned by paired testing at the pre-application stage is differential estimates of home price and total loan amount. Determining where people search for housing and whether they decide they can afford to become homebuyers. The phase of the Homeownership Testing Project was explicitly designed to measure this form of differential treatment rigorously.

Finally, pre-testing highlighted the complexity and difficulty of mortgage lending testing. Both testers and testing organizations than routine rental testing, and great care must be taken with tester training, supervision, and record keeping, as well as with the actual conduct of the tests. Housing organizations necessarily have the capacity to effectively housing organizations necessarily have the capacity to test.

**African Americans Experience Unequal Treatment from Lenders**

The pilot phase of the Homeownership Testing Project focused on mortgage lending institutions requesting help in figuring out a price range for housing, a loan amount for which he or she might qualify, and loan products that might be suitable, to answer the basic research question: What happens to African Americans and Hispanics at the pre-application phase? All the tests conducted in the pilot phase of the Homeownership Testing Project consisted of individuals visiting offices of mortgage lending institutions posing as first-time homebuyers, making a general uninformed request for information about how much house they could afford and what loan products might be available to them. All of the testers were assigned financial profiles that qualified them for products targeted to borrowers with A- credit in their respective housing markets. The two members of each tester pair were assigned virtually identical financial and household characteristics, with the minority partner always slightly better qualified than the white.

In both Chicago and Los Angeles we conducted tests for a representative sample of mortgage lending institutions in the metropolitan area that report under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA), offices in the region that a first-time homebuyer could realistically find and visit. These volumes not only had a high probability of selection, but could appear in the sample more than once.

The pilot test results show that in both Los Angeles and Chicago, African American and Hispanic homebuyers face a significant risk of receiving less favorable treatment than comparable whites when they visit mortgage lending institutions to inquire about financing options. In the majority of cases, minorities and whites received equal treatment, or when differences occurred, they were equally likely to favor the minority as the white. But in both metropolitan areas, paired testing reveals statistically significant patterns of unequal treatment that systematically favor whites.

Unequal treatment takes different forms in the two metropolitan areas.

In Los Angeles —

- Blacks were offered less coaching than comparable whites.
- Hispanics were denied basic information about loan am
In Chicago —

- Blacks were denied basic information about loan amount and house price, told about fewer products, offered less coaching, and received less follow-up than comparable white homebuyers.

- Hispanics were quoted lower loan amounts or house prices, told about fewer products, and offered less coaching than comparable Anglo homebuyers.

These patterns of unequal treatment occurred regardless of whether the two members of a tester pair met with the same loan officer or with different loan officers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Treatment of African American and Hispanic Homebuyers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Requested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan amount and house price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHA encouraged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y Unequal treatment favors whites or Anglos over blacks or Hispanics.

-- No statistically significant differences in treatment

The frequency of unfavorable treatment varies considerably from one category to another. It occurred in the vast majority of cases, but when differences occurred, the white tester was dramatically more likely to be favored than his or her minority partner. For other treatment categories, differences in treatment occurred much more often, but again were substantially more likely to favor the white than the minority tester.

Despite the variations in the forms that differential treatment takes, these findings demonstrate that African American and Hispanic homebuyers in both Los Angeles and Chicago face a significant risk of unequal treatment when they visit mainstream mortgage lending institutions to make pre-application inquiries. Discriminatory treatment at this early stage in the mortgage lending process has the potential to discourage some minorities from continuing their housing search, to limit their search to lower cost homes than they could actually afford, and to prevent them from choosing the most favorable loan products.

Therefore, we conclude that paired testing at the pre-application stage of the mortgage lending process is feasible and effective for both enforcement and research purposes. Additional testing should be conducted, including systematic studies that focus on other sources of information about mortgage products and on homeseekers who are less well-qualified as borrowers. However, because of the complexity of this kind of testing and the differences between metro area results, we do not recommend a large-scale national study of discrimination at the pre-application stage of the mortgage lending process. Instead, systematic studies should be conducted on a site-by-site basis, with ample time at...
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2. HMDA requires all independent mortgage companies and mortgage lenders owned by depository institutions that make at least 100 home purchase and/or refinancing loans in a given year to report on the demographic and locational characteristics of all applications and loans.

3. Levels and patterns of discrimination may be different for smaller lending institutions or when inquiries are made by telephone or Internet rather than in person.

4. It is important to recognize that even when we do not observe a statistically significant pattern of systematically unequal treatment, discrimination may have occurred in individual cases.

5. Results are reported as statistically significant when the difference between the rate of white/Anglo-favored treatment and the rate of minority-favored treatment is significant at a 90 percent confidence level or higher.
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