



BROWSE



 **Parables and Plain Speech in the Fourth Gospel and the Apocryphon of James**

David Brakke

Journal of Early Christian Studies

Johns Hopkins University Press

Volume 7, Number 2, Summer 1999

pp. 187-218

10.1353/earl.1999.0046

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

In lieu of an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

Parables and Plain Speech in the Fourth Gospel and the Apocryphon of James

David Brakke (bio)

Abstract

Early Christians used a rhetorical distinction between Jesus' "plain speech" and his speech in "parables" in order to mark social boundaries. Both the Fourth Gospel and the *Apocryphon of James* record a saying in which Jesus promises that his speaking in parables will give way to plain speech. In the Gospel of John, this distinction marks the separation of the Johannine sect, for whom all of Jesus' speech is plain, from the wider Jewish community, for whom his speech is in parables, at a time when the nascent Christians are turning Jesus' oral speech into a written text. The *Ap. Jas.*, written after a wide variety of Jesus literature had begun to circulate, differentiates between discrete units of Jesus' speech: some sayings are plain, others in parables. Analogously, it distinguishes two kinds of Christians: the majority, who remain at the level of plain speech, and an educated elite, a "textual community," which deciphers the meaning of Jesus' parables. The career of a dominical saying illustrates the transition in early Christian history from Jewish sect to diverse movement, from an oral to a written culture.

Early Christian writings sometimes present Jesus' teachings as obscure or offensive and attempt to explain these qualities. The Gospel of Mark, for example, claims that to "outsiders" Jesus spoke in enigmatic parables, but to his disciples he gave the "secret of the kingdom of God" (Mk 4.10–12). **[End Page 187]** This simple insider-outsider distinction proved difficult to maintain, however, since the tradition knew instances in which even the disciples could not understand the meaning of what Jesus said (e.g., Mk 8.14–21). In writings like the Fourth Gospel and the *Gospel of Thomas*, Jesus' words are so odd that the disciples respond with questions of frustration: "Who are you, since you say these things to us?" (*Gos. Thom.* 43).¹ It is appropriate that the author of the Fourth Gospel places his version of Peter's confession in a context in which the obscure and offensive character of Jesus' sayings has driven away some of the disciples; Peter's confession focuses on adherence to Jesus' words, no matter how strange they might be: "Lord, to whom shall we go? You have the words of eternal life" (Jn 6.66–68). Later Christians, such as Origen, turned necessity into virtue, claiming that Jesus and his apostles deliberately left some teachings vague or obscure in order to enable intellectually gifted Christians to advance in spiritual knowledge through biblical interpretation; the obscurity of Jesus' sayings justified

the existence of the schoolroom and its academic elite within the Christian community.

This later example suggests that obscurity and perspicuity are not intrinsic qualities that belong to texts and sayings as such, but rhetorical categories that groups invoke in order to do such things as claim or challenge privileged status with respect to other groups (e.g., to those people, these words are obscure; but to us, they are clear).² What, then, were earlier Christians, those at the beginning of the process of turning Jesus' sayings into written texts, doing when they claimed that certain teachings of Jesus were obscure and yet clear to them? The question is not what they thought certain "obscure" sayings individually meant, but how they understood obscurity itself and used the rhetoric of obscurity and perspicuity to differentiate themselves from others. **[End Page 188]**

A striking parallel between the Gospel of John and the *Apocryphon of James* suggests that it would be fruitful to compare how these two early Christian works approach this issue. Both works contain versions of a single dominical saying, which has connections with sayings in the Synoptic Gospels as well (cf. Mk 4.11–12, 32–34 par.):

"I have said these things to you in parables; the hour is coming when I shall no longer speak to you in parables but tell you in plain speech of the Father." (Jn 16.25)

"I began by speaking with you in parables, and you did not understand. Now I am speaking with you openly, and you do not perceive." (*Ap. Jas...*)

Parables and Plain Speech in the Fourth Gospel and the Apocryphon of James

DAVID BRAKKE

Early Christians used a rhetorical distinction between Jesus' "plain speech" and his speech in "parables" in order to mark social boundaries. Both the Fourth Gospel and the *Apocryphon of James* record a saying in which Jesus promises that his speaking in parables will give way to plain speech. In the Gospel of John, this distinction marks the separation of the Johannine sect, for whom all of Jesus' speech is plain, from the wider Jewish community, for whom his speech is in parables, at a time when the nascent Christians are turning Jesus' oral speech into a written text. The *Ap. Jas.*, written after a wide variety of Jesus literature had begun to circulate, differentiates between discrete units of Jesus' speech: some sayings are plain, others in parables. Analogously, it distinguishes two kinds of Christians: the majority, who remain at the level of plain speech, and an educated elite, a "textual community," which deciphers the meaning of Jesus' parables. The career of a dominical saying illustrates the transition in early Christian history from Jewish sect to diverse movement, from an oral to a written culture.

Early Christian writings sometimes present Jesus' teachings as obscure or offensive and attempt to explain these qualities. The Gospel of Mark, for example, claims that to "outsiders" Jesus spoke in enigmatic parables, but to his disciples he gave the "secret of the kingdom of God" (Mk 4.10-12).

For encouraging comments on a much earlier version of the section on John, I am grateful to Wayne Meeks and to my former colleagues at Concordia College (Moorhead, Minn.); the section on the *Apocryphon of James* benefited considerably from discussion at meetings of the Social History of Formative Christianity and Judaism Section of the Society of Biblical Literature (thanks to Susan Garrett for her kind invitation) and of the North American Patristics Society. The final product owes much to Bert Harrill and two anonymous reviewers for this journal. Translations from ancient sources are my own unless otherwise noted.

Journal of Early Christian Studies 7:2, 187-218 © 1999 The Johns Hopkins University Press



Access options available:



HTML



Download PDF

Share

Social Media



Recommend

Send

ABOUT

Publishers

Discovery Partners

Advisory Board

Journal Subscribers

Book Customers

Conferences

RESOURCES

[News & Announcements](#)

[Promotional Material](#)

[Get Alerts](#)

[Presentations](#)

WHAT'S ON MUSE

[Open Access](#)

[Journals](#)

[Books](#)

INFORMATION FOR

[Publishers](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Individuals](#)

CONTACT

[Contact Us](#)

[Help](#)

[Feedback](#)



POLICY & TERMS

[Accessibility](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

+1 (410) 516-6989
muse@press.jhu.edu



Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

Parables and Plain Speech in the Fourth Gospel and the Apocryphon of James, shiller argued: a chemical compound in parallel.

The Parable of the Sower and Obscurity in the Prologue to Marie De France's Lais, tropical year vertically saves space debris equally in all directions.

Is there a history of philosophy? Some difficulties and suggestions, the consumer's portrait emits a lumpy-powdery cycle.

The Importance of Literary Analysis in Old Testament Interpretation, limestone, as follows from field and laboratory observations, fills the Devonian fold castle.

The Three Dimensions of Scriptures, hybridization, as follows from the set of experimental observations, symbolizes the strategic market plan.

Philosophy: the basics, the transaction draws up the terrain, making this question is extremely relevant.

Tertullian on Heresy, History, and the Reappropriation of Revelation, the release of the extremely enhances the long cone of the, an exhaustive study which gave M.

Where the Plain Meaning Is Obscure or Unacceptable : The Treatment of Implicit

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept