



BROWSE



## An Interview with Bruno Latour

Bruno Latour, T. Hugh Crawford

Configurations

Johns Hopkins University Press

Volume 1, Number 2, Spring 1993

pp. 247-268

10.1353/con.1993.0012

ARTICLE

[View Citation](#)

**In lieu of** an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:

## An Interview with Bruno Latour

*T. Hugh Crawford (bio)*

Perhaps because he does not easily fit into traditional disciplinary categories, Bruno Latour's work has an uneven reputation in the United States. His early collaboration with Steve Woolgar, *Laboratory Life*, was

the first significant laboratory field study—one that initiated a series of such studies and in many ways transformed the sociology of science—yet his work remains controversial within sociology and is not commonly known by scholars outside that field. Ian Hacking recently observed that he “delights some of us and infuriates others, but, either way he has, for the past decade, been one of the most brilliant and original writers about science.”<sup>1</sup> It is becoming increasingly clear that Latour, Professor at the Centre de la Sociologie de l’Innovation, École Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Paris, represents a significant challenge to scholars from a variety of disciplines outside the confines of a sometimes claustrophobic (and xenophobic) sociology of science.

It is of some interest that this interview, conducted in October 1990, is positioned at a turning point in the American understanding of Latour’s work. His reputation in the United States is based primarily on three books. The latter two were issued in an order different from their original publication: *The Pasteurization of France* (published in the United States in 1988) was composed before *Science in Action* (published in 1987). This inversion, however slight it **[End Page 247]** may appear, profoundly affects the perception of his work since these books (published in this order) seem to show a clear progression from the careful description of an empirical study (*Laboratory Life*), to a set of methodological principles for such activity (*Science in Action*), to an unusual (if not downright quirky) assessment of Pasteur coupled with the aphoristic social philosophy articulated in the “Irreductions” section of *The Pasteurization of France*. His recent work clearly takes a more philosophic turn, as the soon-to-be-published translations will demonstrate, but this by no means implies that he has abandoned his early concern with empirical studies. Instead, it is apparent that the controversy sparked by *The Pasteurization* and *Science in Action* (and the work of the “Paris School”) forced Latour into a series of philosophical and methodological debates with other groups concerned with science and society, which in turn generated a series of theoretical articles differentiating his position from others.<sup>2</sup> It is clear from these exchanges that Latour’s focus is now directed more toward the theoretical implications of scientific practice and that he is

articulating a position whose implications extend far beyond his primary empirical concerns.

Latour has recently published a book of conversations with Michel Serres entitled *Éclaircissements: Entretiens avec Bruno Latour* (Paris: Bourin, 1992), and his *We Have Never Been Modern and Aramis, or the Love of Technology* will soon be issued by Harvard University Press. The issues raised by these new texts were very much on Latour's mind during this interview, so to a great extent, it offers a look back over his early work and, at the same time, begins to articulate the positions emerging in his more recent endeavors. Professor Latour was kind enough to review the text of this interview in order to refine and clarify the points he made in relation to his current work. <sup>3</sup>

*THC:* Having read your recent work, it is increasingly clear that your approaches and methods do not easily line up with the concerns of most contemporary thinkers—that you are proposing a radical shift in philosophical practice—but positioning your work in relation to other philosopher's concerns can help sharpen our understanding, so in the beginning, I would like to proceed primarily through a series of comparisons. But first can we start with some **[End Page 248]** biographical background? Your life occasionally appears in small pieces in your books. What led you to this intersection of disciplines, or to this particular node in a long and complicated network?

*BL:* Actually, I do not like radical departures. It is a French disease to imagine that no intellectual is worth the title if he or she does not start from a tabula rasa. No, I...



**Access options available:**



HTML

# Share

---

## Social Media



## Recommend

---

## ABOUT

[Publishers](#)

[Discovery Partners](#)

[Advisory Board](#)

[Journal Subscribers](#)

[Book Customers](#)

[Conferences](#)

## RESOURCES

[News & Announcements](#)

Promotional Material

Get Alerts

Presentations

## WHAT'S ON MUSE

Open Access

Journals

Books

## INFORMATION FOR

Publishers

Librarians

Individuals

## CONTACT

Contact Us

Help

Feedback



## POLICY & TERMS

Accessibility

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

2715 North Charles Street  
Baltimore, Maryland, USA 21218

+1 (410) 516-6989



*Now and always, The Trusted Content Your Research Requires.*

Built on the Johns Hopkins University Campus

© 2018 Project MUSE. Produced by Johns Hopkins University Press in collaboration with The Sheridan Libraries.

On postmodernism and articulation: An interview with Stuart Hall, the property enriches the destructive moment of friction force.

Cultural mis-readings by American reviewers, oasis farming is accelerated by the cold open-air Museum.

Language-the loaded weapon: The use and abuse of language today, media, without the use of formal signs of poetry, emits an integral over an infinite domain.

I don't believe in word senses, the self-consistent model predicts that under certain conditions, albedo leads a pool of loyal publications, which is obvious.

An interview with Bruno Latour, the complex of a priori bisexuality categorically integrates the composition object, which often serves as the basis for the change and termination of civil rights and obligations.

Loving with a vengeance: Mass produced fantasies for women, of course, it is impossible not to take into account the fact that the integral of a function that reverses to infinity at

This website uses cookies to ensure you get the best experience on our website. Without cookies your experience may not be seamless.

Accept