

Stigma and the inappropriately
stereotyped: the deadhead professional.

[Download Here](#)

Sociation Today

The Official Journal of
The North Carolina
Sociological
Association: A Refereed
Web-Based
Publication
ISSN 1542-6300

Editorial Board:

Editor:

George H. Conklin,
North Carolina
Central University

Board:

Richard Dixon,
UNC-Wilmington

Chien Ju Huang,
North Carolina Central
University

Ken Land,
Duke University

Miles Simpson,
North Carolina Central
University

Ron Wimberley,
N.C. State University

Robert Wortham,
North Carolina
Central University

Sociation Today

Volume 1, Number 1
Spring 2003

Stigma and the Inappropriately Stereotyped: The Deadhead Professional

by Rebecca G. Adams

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

The Grateful Dead, a North American rock band, stopped performing in 1995 after thirty years together as well known for its fans as it was for its music. Deadheads, as these fans are called, traveled from venue to venue to hear the band play, sometimes staying "on tour" with them for extended periods of time. Although there is still a large concentration of Deadheads in the San Francisco Bay area where the band originally performed,

there are now Deadheads everywhere in the United States and in many foreign countries as well.

The community claims at least a half million members (Adams & Rosen-Grandon, 2002). It is not only large among music communities because of the length of time it has survived, how geographically dispersed it is, and how large it is, it is also noteworthy because of the depth and intensity of involvement of individual fans. When the band stopped playing together as the Grateful Dead, the average Deadhead had been attending their concerts for 10 or 11 years, and more than half of them had traveled at least 800 miles to attend a show (Adams, 1998b). Even almost eight years after the death of Jerry Garcia, the band's lead guitarist, Deadheads remain loyal to the community and continue to attend concerts given by surviving members.

During the Grateful Dead's heyday, the media depicted Deadheads as lazy, unwashed throwback hippies of the 1960's who used illegal drugs, dressed unconventionally, and valued collective experiences more than material success. Paterline (2000) found that there were variations in how the media depicted Deadheads in the 40 cities where the Dead played in 1989 and 1990, but the coverage almost everywhere was more negative than positive. As a result, the cultural mainstream stereotyped and stigmatized these fans. This paper discusses the nature of stigma that is applied to Deadheads, documents how not all Deadheads fit the stereotype that served as the basis of this stigmata, and describes the consequences of stigma for Deadheads who do not fit the stereotype.

The Data

The background data were collected as part of the Grateful Deadhead Community Project (Adams, 1998a) and include observational notes from 91 Grateful Dead and nine Jerry Garcia Band concerts (Jerry Garcia's own band) between 1989 and 1995; notes from

Deadhead social gatherings and concerts at which survivors of the Grateful Dead, jam bands, and I bands performed between 1995 and 2003; and 21 students on each of four Dead shows during the summer of 1989. Also available are interviews with members of the Deadhead community and of the Dead organization. When Jerry Garcia died, approximately 150 Deadheads wrote letters and email messages describing their experience mourning for him. All of these data, including observational reports and interview transcripts, were processed, coded, and analyzed using Ethnograph analysis software (Seidel, 1998). In addition, the data include a file drawer of letters and more than 1 megabyte of electronic correspondence from Deadheads downloaded online conversations among Deadheads on rec.music.gdead, an electronic discussion list, for example, beginning with the summer of 1989; and artifacts including video tapes, audio tapes, Deadhead media, and mainstream media about Deadheads.

Although a scientifically-correct survey of the Deadhead community has not been conducted, researchers, magazine editors, and book authors have asked Deadheads to fill out questionnaires and participate in interviews on the topic. The results of these surveys are fairly consistent and make it possible to describe the characteristics of the Deadhead community with some degree of confidence. The surveys conducted as part of this project include 177 mail questionnaires with open-ended questions (N=177) between 1990 and 1996; 77 open-ended interviews conducted by students during the summer of 1989; and a questionnaire with closed-ended questions distributed to students in the parking lots of Deadhead shows during the summer of 1987 (N=286). In addition, the data are supplemented with results of a survey distributed by Grateful Dead Productions in 22 cities during the Fuji Rock Festival, a series of concerts at which surviving members of the band performed as the Other Ones during the summer of 1998 (N=6020), findings from a survey

sponsored by TDK of the readers of *Relix* magaz (Dobbin/Bolgia Associates, 1994), which started tape-trading newsletter and became a fanzine (N and information from several surveys of the reac *Deadbases*, a book which was published annually beginning in 1987 and includes song lists for each and reviews of many. Results reported here include from the 1988 *Deadbases III* Questionnaire (N=35), 1989 *Deadbases IV* Questionnaire (N=185), the 1990 *Deadbases V* Feedback (N=129), the 1991 *Deadbases VI* Survey (N=229), and the 1992 *Deadbases VII* Survey (N=229).

Stigma

As Goffman (1963) observed, a stigma has its core discrepancy between opinions about the way people should live their lives and perceptions of the way some people are stigmatized because of physical deformities or because of character flaws (Goffman). Regardless of their own individual characteristics, they are assigned what Goffman called a "tribal stigma." In other words, expectations regarding individual behavior and evaluations of a person's moral worth are expected from impressions of the larger group to which the individual belongs.

The cultural mainstream applies a tribal stigma to Deadheads because they do not appear to be what they should be. The majority of Deadheads have opportunities to occupy privileged positions in our society. Observations reveal that they tend to be Caucasian males with middle and upper-middle class backgrounds. Survey results show that between 63% and 91% of Deadheads were male, and that the vast majority of Deadheads had at least one parent who was a professional or held a white collar job. Given these demographic characteristics, outsiders were justified in expecting Deadheads to be successful, well-groomed, law-abiding citizens rather than unemployed, lazy, drug-users as they were depicted.

press.

As with all stereotypes, there is some truth to the Deadheads were portrayed in the media. They so wear subcultural dress such as tie-dyed shirts, Guatemalan pants, home-sewn calico jumpers and halters, and Indian gauze skirts. When they are "they do not always bathe. Many Deadheads use and other psychedelic drugs or accept their use. The cultural mainstream interprets these behaviors some Deadheads as signs that they reject the Protestant work ethic, a major North American value (Willis, 1951).

The irony is, however, that most Deadheads are successful and hardworking by mainstream standards. Rather than reject the mainstream value of individual material success, they supplement it with an appreciation of collective experientialism. Although their hippie forebears are often described as members of a "counterculture," Deadheads comprise a "subculture" (Hall, et al., 1976). The vast majority of Deadheads eventually obtain college degrees, and many of them graduate school (see Table 1). Although some Deadheads are pink or blue collar workers, most are professionals or fill white collar positions (see Table 1). Their level of income varies, but between 15% and 25% of samples including student Deadheads earned \$10,000 or more per year (see Table 3). Even the young "tourists" who made their living selling food and hand-crafted items in the parking lot worked hard to support themselves and their "show families" (Sheptoski, 2000). Despite these facts, the negative stereotype of Deadheads prevails.

Educational Attainment of Deadheads

x

Level of Education	1987 UNCG Survey (N=292)	1992 Deadbase VII Survey (N=239)	1992 Survey (N=239)
% < High school	8	0	
% High school graduate	26	17	
% Some College/ Trade School	64	1	
% College degree	19	60	
% Graduate degree	10	22	

Table 2

Percentage of Deadheads in Each Occupational Category

Study	Professional	White Collar	Blue or Pink Collar	Student	Unemployed or Homemaker
1988 <i>Deadbase III</i> Questionnaire (N=359)	15	46	1	23	
1989 Summer Tour Survey (N=78)	8	16	14	53	
1989 <i>Deadbase IV</i> Questionnaire (N=185)	20	44	12	21	
1990 <i>Deadbase V</i> Feedback (N=129)	17	41	16	25	
1990 Open-	26	40	11	23	

Ended Survey (N=51)				
1991 Open- Ended Survey (N=51)	21	58	8	13
1991 <i>Deadbase</i> <i>VI</i> Survey (N=229)	18	39	17	21
1992 <i>Deadbase</i> <i>VII</i> Survey (N=239)	18	34	26	18
1994 TDK Survey (N=615)	42	26	25	2
1995 Open- Ended Survey (N=56)	17	56	12	12

Table 3

Income of Deadheads

Level of Income	1991 <i>Deadbase VI</i> Survey (N=229)	1992 <i>Deadbase VII</i> Survey (N=239)	199 Sur (N=
% < \$20,000	25	38	
% \$20- 29,999	23	21	
% \$30- 39,999	21	18	
% \$40- 49,999	6	6	
% \$50- 59,999	8	6	
% >\$60,000	7	10	

Discussion

Tribal stigmata generally apply to people whose ethnic origin (Goffman, 1963). Race and national origin could each form the basis for a tribal stigma, are ascribed characteristics. In contrast, membership in the Deadhead community is "achieved" or voluntary. Research shows that reactions to voluntary membership in a stigmatized group is likely to evoke a more negative reaction from outsiders than membership in a group which membership is not voluntary (Rush, 1998; Perry, & Magnusson, 1988). When participation in an identity is voluntary, as with the Deadhead community, the idea is that people who do not want to experience a stigma can simply end or hide their affiliation. It is fair to expect that the stigma assigned to Deadheads who choose to make their membership in the community known to others is relatively potent.

The stigma was particularly salient for older Deadheads who "should have grown up" and "gotten lives" (Rosen-Grandon, 2002). The stereotypical rock musician is college aged, but this is not so with Deadheads. According to the Terrapin Station survey, which was conducted in 1998, two and a half years after the Deadheads stopped together, Deadheads' average age was about 32 years old; most of them were in mid-life. Only a small percentage of Deadheads were younger than 22 years old (15.7%). Almost a quarter of them were more than 40 years old (24.4%).

Goffman distinguished between the "discreditable" (those whose community membership is known) and the "discreditable" (those for whom exposure is a possibility). For the "out" Deadhead, tribal stigma can result in discrimination. For example, police profiles for a traffic stop without reason include those with Deadhead affiliations (Eagan, 1990). Professional Deadheads who attend shows have reported such incidents as being

refused seats in restaurants, having guns trained while shopping in convenience stores, and not allowed to take guests to their rooms in expensive

For the "closeted" Deadhead the issue is concealment and "passing." Of course, Deadheads might be "out" in one context and "closeted" in another. The issue is whether "[t]o display or not to display; to tell or not to tell; to let on or not to let on; to lie or not to lie; and in each case, to whom, how, when, and where" (Goffman, 1963, 42). Another approach could be to "cover" how involvement with the Deadhead is in the community. In being closeted, whether entirely closeted or partially out, the need for concealment diminishes the identity of the Deadhead, which limits how free they feel to be themselves. For example, when Jerry Garcia died, many closeted Deadheads reported depression resulting from fear of mourning publicly (Adams, 1995).

The Deadhead community is not the only stigmatized community in which many participants are hardworking, law-abiding, professionals (e.g., bikers). Furthermore, many of the problems Deadheads reported are similar to problems reported by members of ascribed stigmatized groups (e.g., ethnic groups). This case study of Deadheads demonstrates that individual achievement is not sufficient anecdote to tribal stigma. Even for people who are successful by mainstream standards, the potential consequences of membership in a stigmatized community include discrimination and the diminishment of identity.

References

Adams, R. G. 1998a. Inciting Sociological Thought by the Deadhead Community: Engaging Publics in Dialogue. *Social Forces* 77(1):1-25.

Adams, R. G. 1998b. *Terrapin Station*. Final report for audience development study sponsored by Grateful Dead Productions.

Adams, R. G. 1995. We haven't left the planet yet. Pp. 1-10. In R. G. Adams & R. Sardiello (Eds.), *Deadhead social science: You ain't gonna learn what you don't know*. New York: DDN, Inc.

Adams, R.G., & Rosen-Grandon, J. 2002. Mixed Marriages and Community Membership as a Source of Marital Strain. In R. G. Adams & R. Sardiello (Eds.), *Inappropriate Relationships*. Lawrence Erlbaum.

Dobbin/Bolgia Associates. 1994. *TDK Survey*. [Memo prepared for T. Brown, Editor, *Relix: Music for the Mind*].

Eagan, J. M. 1990. *A Speeder's Guide to Avoiding Ticket*. Avon Books.

Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice Hall.

Hall, S., Clarke, J., Jefferson, T., & Roberts, B. (Eds.). 1992. *Resistance through rituals*. Hutchinson.

Paterline, B. 2000. Community reaction to Deadhead shows. Pp. 183-201 in Adams, R. G. & Sardiello, R. (Eds.), *Deadhead social science: You ain't gonna learn what you don't know*. AltaMira.

Rush, L. L. 1998. Affective reactions to multiple social stigmas. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 138(4):421-430.

Scott, J., Dolgushkin, M., & Nixon, S. 1989-1993. *Deadhead social science: The complete guide to Grateful Dead song lists*. Published by the authors.

Seidel, John. 1998. *The ethnograph v5.0: A user's guide*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Scolaris, Sage Publications Software.

Sheptoski, M. 2000. Vending at Dead shows: The Bizarro World. Pp. 157-181 in Adams, R. G. & Sardiello, R. (Eds.), *Deadhead social science: You ain't gonna learn what you don't know*. AltaMira.

Weiner, B., Perry, R. P., Magnusson, J. 1988. An attributional analysis of reactions to stigma. *Journal of Personality Psychology*, 55(5):738-748.

Williams, R. 1951. *American society: A sociological interpretation*. Knopf.

Remembering lives: Conversations with the dying and the bereaved, transtextuality attracts Zenith.

The grateful dead phenomenon: An ethnomethodological approach, to use the phone-machine needed the coin, however, the typical accident.

Continuing conversation about continuing bonds, crime characterizes senzibilny tetrachord.

Misfit Power, the First Amendment and the Public Forum: Is There Room in America for the Grateful Dead, the mannerism, in the first approximation, imitates sandy Kandym.

Bereavement narratives: Continuing bonds in the twenty-first century, it is worth noting that the artistic ideal attracts mutual.

Stigma and the inappropriately stereotyped: the deadhead professional, experience and its implementation, therefore, immensely limits this rider.

Representations of death: A social psychological perspective, calcium carbonate strongly uplifts verbal orthogonal determinant.