

What happened to the e-book revolution?:

[Download Here](#)

The gradual integration of e-books into academic libraries.



the journal of  
electronic  
publishing

quick search

CURRENT

ARCHIVE

ABOUT

EDITORS

# What Happened to the E-book Revolution?: The Gradual Integration of E-books into Academic Libraries

*Lynn Silipigni Connaway and Heather L. Wicht*

[Volume 10](#), [Issue 3](#), Fall 2007

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/3336451.0010.302>

[Permissions](#)

*This paper was refereed by the Journal of Electronic Publishing's peer review*

## Abstract

*An examination of the literature published about electronic books (e-books) 2007 helps to determine the factors that may have influenced academic e-book the adoption of e-books in academic libraries. The literature reflects e-book offerings dating back to 1945, as well as studies and perceptions of opportunity challenges related to e-books. In an attempt to explain why the integration of academic library collections has been very gradual during this period, this article provides a summary of the literature that addresses issues related to electronic version*

EPUB

Print

Share

are made accessible online. This includes both books that are digitized and

**KEYWORDS:** e-books:electronic books:ebooks:digital books:academic library development:digital publishing: Google Books Library Projects:e-book providers

Within the past ten years, the academic library market has experienced surges in book acquisition. These surges have been generally influenced by vendors' products and the related coverage by the media. There is now sufficient historical data to identify specific factors that may have influenced the interest in and integration of academic libraries.

As librarians gain more experience in reviewing and assessing e-book offerings, criteria for the acquisition of e-books become more established and consistent. The environment is constantly changing with the development of new technologies, e-book providers, and library digitization initiatives. Academic librarians have realized the importance of framing their e-book decisions according to the particular dynamics of their institutions, particularly the curriculum and users' information-seeking behavior and preferences. Library systems have been developed to integrate social network management software into library services and to redesign library catalogs as an attempt to streamline the process from discovery to delivery. An emerging understanding of users' information-seeking behaviors and technology preferences in relation to other electronic sources is reflected in the literature published within the last

Likewise, the growth of the academic e-book market can be traced through the literature. In 2000, Donald T. Hawkins conducted a literature search on e-books in the Database of Electronic Journals and reported an explosion of e-book articles in the previous two to three years. One third of the 2003 Charleston Conference Proceedings was indexed as electronic publishing.<sup>[2]</sup> A search of the database *Library Literature and Information Science* using variations of the terms "e-book," "electronic books," and "digital books" also revealed an increasing number of sources mentioning e-books.<sup>[3]</sup> There were 91 retrievals for 1995-1999; and 600 retrievals for 2000-2007.

## History of E-Books

In 1945, Vannevar Bush wrote a remarkably forward-thinking article in *The Atlantic*

calling for the intelligent and creative use of technology to facilitate the storage of information.<sup>[4]</sup> In the article, Bush described the "memex," a conceptual device to retrieve, and display personal books, records, and documents. Bush also described how to have multiple items open simultaneously, and to add notes to the projected pages. In response to Bush's article, Andries van Dam, a computer scientist at Brown University, worked for several years with a small team to develop a way to store and retrieve text using computers. Van Dam and his students developed the Hypertext Editing System (HEP), which could be read on a computer screen. In 1968, computer scientist Alan Kay described a personal device, which was similar to a laptop computer with a graphical user interface. Although the prototype would not be built for four years, Kay saw that it would further facilitate the exchange of information.<sup>[5]</sup> Kay's vision was broad: "Just as the book was an analog oral medium, so is the computer an extension of the print medium."<sup>[6]</sup>

Subsequently some notable initiatives ultimately made digitized public domain content available via the Internet and helped propel e-books into the public eye. On July 4, 1996, Michael Hart keyed in the words of the "Declaration of Independence," launching what is now known as Project Gutenberg. Today, more than 20,000 public domain titles are available on the Internet as a result of the ongoing Project Gutenberg cooperative effort. Brewster Kahle founded the Internet Archive, a non-profit organization intending to archive Web pages and other content in order to prevent the Internet from "disappearing into the past."<sup>[7]</sup> The Internet Archive's collections include the texts of Project Gutenberg, the Open Library Book Project (an initiative to create "a free-to-read, searchable collection of books, primarily in the English language, available to everyone over the Internet"<sup>[8]</sup>), and other freely available collections of e-texts.<sup>[9]</sup> Kahle's organization has a cooperative relationship with Project Gutenberg, contributing texts to the Gutenberg collection while helping to distribute the content.

As use of the Internet became widespread in the late 1990's, some publishers began thinking about hosting and selling e-books. At that time, the process of making content available on line usually involved keying in or scanning published print books, proofreading, and converting them to an online format, commonly HTML. Although computerized versions of books often existed, publishers rarely had rights to sell the e-book versions. The creation of early e-book collections was a laborious and expensive undertaking involving significant time and manual manipulation of the text and layout. With the launch of NetLibrary,

than 2,000 e-books became commercially available to libraries.<sup>[10]</sup> Two competing providers – Questia and ebrary - entered the marketplace with different access models in 2000 and 2001, respectively.<sup>[11]</sup> Both Questia and ebrary experimented with marketing directly to end users and promoting institutional accounts to librarians, with Questia sold weekly, monthly, and yearly subscriptions marketed to individuals. Questia allowed users to search the collection for free, but only subscribers could view or customize documents. NetLibrary now sell e-books exclusively to libraries; Questia markets its service to students as a research service.

During this experimental period, some product and marketing trends began to emerge. Those first library e-book collections contained many computer, business, and technical titles, reflecting the strengths of providers' collections.<sup>[12]</sup> A study of NetLibrary logs showed that the average time a user spent in an e-book session was 10.9 minutes, with a median time of 3.1 minutes per session."<sup>[13]</sup> The analysis of NetLibrary usage of this e-book collection was used as a database for ready reference. This corroborated Lynch's statement in 1999 in regard to e-books: "Digital versions are more like databases than traditional books that are read sequentially from beginning to end."

Soon after the Internet bubble burst in 2001, NetLibrary, a venture capital-financed company that was not yet profitable, experienced serious financial woes. Librarians feared NetLibrary would go out of business altogether, and sales dwindled. NetLibrary went up for sale and was acquired by OCLC in January 2002.

RoweCom, an e-journal provider, failed to pass library customers' payments or royalties, resulting in the non-fulfillment of some 2003 journal subscriptions and a subsequent bankruptcy filing in early 2003. Although this company was not an e-book provider, its demise had a negative impact on the e-book environment as well. With the financial difficulties experienced by NetLibrary and the demise of RoweCom, librarians worried about the viability of e-book vendors and became increasingly sensitive to the risks involved in investing in e-book resources.

In 2004, two new e-book providers, Ebook Library (EBL) and MyiLibrary, were founded. These companies aimed to improve upon available e-book products and services by offering more titles and innovative pricing and access models.

In late December 2004, Google announced its Google Print Library Project (later Books Library Project) in cooperation with the New York Public Library, the University of Michigan, Harvard, Oxford and Stanford.<sup>[15]</sup> Digitized collections from these libraries are available in Google Book Search. Users can view bibliographic information about books and access limited portions of the digitized books still in copyright, as well as view entire books that are out of copyright. Since 2004 additional partners have joined the project, including the Committee on Institutional Cooperation (CIC), a library cooperation organization of twelve university libraries. Each library and the CIC has a different agreement with Google. Some of the institutions are focusing on the digitization of their distinctive or special collections to provide users with online access to unique materials without duplicating. The Project also enables the libraries to preserve the print copies of their materials while only electronic versions are available.

During this time, various publishers began developing in-house e-book publishing capabilities that enabled them to host and sell e-books directly to libraries. The publishers included Oxford University Press, Springer, and Taylor & Francis, plus a host of others. Some publishers also sell some or all of the e-book collections from the e-book pioneer NetLibrary.

The books digitized by the Google Books Library Project that are not in the public domain are primarily being used to point searchers to copies available for purchase; users can browse the collection and are able to see only snippets of books that match their search terms. Although this type of use is different than the use of the other e-book offerings in this section, the project may have affected the e-book landscape more than any other because it made entire library collections and special collections available online for a period of time. Several hundred thousand titles were made accessible electronically through the Google Books Library Project's initial offering, a total that other e-book providers would not be able to provide in five years. The Google initiative supplied the processes and infrastructure for librarians to make large collections available electronically and to create digital surrogates in order to archive and preserve the print books. Other e-book providers have negotiated deals with publishers to digitize their content, limiting the e-book collections offered to those selected by the publisher or the e-book provider. The Google initiative enabled librarians to select the titles to be digitized, emphasizing the librarians' collection-management expertise that is not leveraged by other e-book providers.

# Librarians' Perspective

Last spring ebrary surveyed 583 librarians at 552 libraries (77% of them academic) to identify their thoughts about e-books. [\[18\]](#)

The survey revealed that the primary method of discovering e-books is the online catalog (OPAC), and that overall, librarians do not believe that users are taking full advantage of e-book collections. Allen W. McKiel, who analyzed the survey, surmises that the OPAC is not the best interface for e-books. He suggested that e-books be promoted as resources through instruction and integration into the curriculum. Librarians themselves are doing much to integrate e-books with other library resources beyond loading MARC records into the OPAC. Neither librarians nor instructors were linking to e-books in course management systems, course reserves, and reading lists. This type of integration into the curriculum would help raise awareness of e-books and get students into the habit of using them.

The survey also indicated that there are some serious barriers to the use of e-books. Respondents said that "lack of awareness" was the primary barrier to use, followed by "difficult to read," "difficult to use platforms," and "lack of training." McKiel suggests that instruction could be the cure for all barriers except "difficult to read," and he dismisses the latter perhaps indicating a preference for the print format. These findings suggest that focus efforts on instruction and promoting the integration of e-books into the curriculum will increase the usage of e-books may increase.

Even so, other barriers must be surmounted: 80% of the respondents found e-book acquisitions models confusing, representing another significant barrier to adoption by librarians. This indicates that there are lingering insecurities among librarians about the purchase of e-books instead of their print equivalents. Even if the purchase and access processes are well understood, issues such as whether the library owns a digital copy in perpetuity are often still gray areas, making the purchase seem risky. Publishers and aggregators will increase their viability in the e-content market as long as they can distinctly articulate the services and options that they can provide to libraries.

Although e-books cannot be integrated and adopted into academic libraries without the active involvement of librarians, faculty and students may be the determining factor in whether e-books are used and adopted for teaching and learning. As technology evolves



faculty, and students will seek out information in new ways; understanding the expectations can help predict how e-books will progress in academic libraries.

## Academic Community's Perspective

Several studies investigating information-seeking behaviors have reported similar findings concerning how academics discover and use information sources.<sup>[19]</sup> A study on the users and uses of digital resources in undergraduate education in the humanities, conducted at the University of California-Berkeley, concludes that there is a wide variety of strategies for negotiating the digital morass. For most, the path of discovery is the one usually taken – a Google search, a walk down the hall or an email to a colleague, or to the website of a trusted archive, or often one's own eclectic 'collection' of resources. Those findings are supported by the IMLS-funded study, "Sense-Making the Information Confluence: The Whys and Hows of College and University User Satisficing of Information Needs."<sup>[21]</sup> This is a study of the information-seeking behaviors of college and university faculty and students, and it included a total of eight focus group interviews with 31 faculty and graduate students, and 28 undergraduate students; and 15 semi-structured interviews with faculty, four graduate students, and five undergraduate students in May and

The focus group interview results reported that undergraduate students value the convenience of accessing electronic sources from anywhere and at any time. Graduate students also want access to both physical collections and electronic sources. All of the respondents indicated that it is difficult to find information in library systems and suggested the use of a username and password to access electronic sources. Amazon.com was cited as an example of a system that is easy, convenient, and fast; it is often used to find bibliographic information, which is then copied and pasted into the library OPAC. All of the participants reported using Web search engines such as Google or Yahoo because they are convenient and provide easy access to information. The participants in the semi-structured interviews indicated that search engines also are used as familiarization tools. They also use e-books as general reference sources and to locate the paper format of other sources included in the e-book. They said they often print electronic text, if permissible.<sup>[23]</sup>

Although the results of these interviews are not generalizable because of the limited sample, they do support the findings of other reported studies.<sup>[24]</sup> These responses indicate that current methods for discovering and delivering e-books may be viewed as barr

adoption.

## Barriers to E-Book Adoption

Despite the attractive features of e-books, such as 24/7 availability and remote access, they have not been integrated into library services as well as digital information such as journals and articles. According to Barbara Blummer, a library statistician from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's School of Computing Sciences, e-books represent only 5% of academic library collections, 15% of special library collections are comprised of e-books and only 60% of library collections include e-books. Such a low representation of e-books in libraries calls for an investigation of the barriers to e-book adoption.

Several themes consistently appear in the literature on the barriers to the adoption and integration of e-books into library collections, services, and systems. These include incompatible e-book and hardware standards; incompatible rights and operability; unrealistic pricing and access models; and limited discovery and delivery options.

### Lack of Standards and Hardware Development

There are no standards for the development and distribution of e-books; they must support multiple formats, software, hardware, and acquisition, purchasing, and distribution models. This presents daunting challenges in integrating e-books into academic library acquisition, discovery, and delivery systems.

The most common delivery system offered by e-book providers and publishers is the Internet, in PDF format. The hardware devices most commonly used to view e-books are workstations or personal computers. Some believe that most people read e-books on desktop computers, and that electronic displays are difficult for people to see during lengthy periods of time.<sup>[26]</sup> However, the Poynter Institute, a journalism think tank, reports that "[a] much larger percentage of story text was read, on average, on desktop computers: 77% online, 62% in broadsheet, and 57% tabloid."<sup>[27]</sup> Their study also indicated that when a reader selected an item to read, almost two-thirds of the online readers read it on a desktop computer. A study of "screenagers," or 12-18 year olds, conducted by Marie L. Ray and Silipigni Connaway, indicates that user behavior may be changing in favor of reading and sending more content online.<sup>[28]</sup> This new generation is much more comfortable



reading content from computer screens and mobile telephones. If these findings are confirmed, and if the reading devices that currently are being developed do provide easy-to-use electronic displays, the electronic display may no longer be a barrier to e-book adoption.

## Incompatible Rights and Operability

Walt Crawford, Senior Analyst for the library group RLG and monthly columnist for *Libraries and EContent Magazine*, wrote, "Digital rights management causes a host of problems that sharply limit e-book potential."<sup>[29]</sup> There are multiple authentication procedures that are difficult for information seekers to manage. The academic library group participated in the study, "Sense-making the Information Confluence," specifically identified the difficulty of accessing electronic sources through library portals because of the logins and passwords required to access the sources.<sup>[30]</sup>

In libraries where more than one e-book platform is available to library patrons, such as navigating through an e-book, copying, pasting, and printing may work on one e-book platform but not on another. This creates confusion for library users and librarians.

The lack of interoperability of e-book hardware requires libraries to support e-books on different hardware platforms—not just workstations or laptops, but also dedicated PDAs, and mobile phones, as well as various MP3 players for digital audio books. Information seekers do not want to be limited to one platform—they want to access e-books from home and the office, as well as from laptops and other electronic devices, PDAs, mobile phones, and MP3 players.

## Unrealistic Price, Purchase, and Access Models

Market expectations for acquiring and purchasing e-books may not be realistic for providers and publishers. There is a general feeling that e-books should cost less than print books. This assumption is based on the premise that it is less expensive to produce content electronically than it is in print. However, this is not necessarily accurate. For "digital" e-books, the entire publishing process—writing, editing, formatting, etc.—is constant. With the multiple types of e-books, providers must account for the cost of the content, maintaining and improving the features of the platform, and providing customer support. The publishing and distribution costs associated with e-books have a

pricing models, which are still in flux.

Currently, there are various pricing models available to academic libraries, including books on a title-by-title basis, subscribing to an e-book collection, or leasing (or some variations of each of these options). Each pricing model has distinct advantages and disadvantages, and must be carefully considered. For instance, the lease model is affordable and convenient for short-term use, but carries a risk when academic libraries have the option for continuous access to the digital content or when academic libraries do not have the means to preserve and archive the digital content.

There are generally two options for access models: multiple, simultaneous user access or the one user model carried over from the print world. Most e-book providers offer one or the other, although ebrary is experimenting with offering both models at different times. Respondents to the ebrary survey said they were dissatisfied with the single-user model still used by NetLibrary. "I view it as both a technology issue and a pricing/content issue. It uses technology to artificially restrict access, which is counter-intuitive to the goal of using technology to increase access at lower costs," McKiel wrote.<sup>[31]</sup>

Since the early adoption period, librarians have wanted to be able to use e-books to fulfill loan requests. However, publishers generally prohibit this use because of technical limitations in offering temporary access to unaffiliated users. Some e-book providers, such as EBL and MyiLibrary, have tried to offer short-term lending models to address this need. MyiLibrary offers a pay-per-use option that can be utilized to fulfill interlibrary loan requests. MyiLibrary announced a partnership with NRC-CISTI to provide a new "eBook Lending" service, which libraries can pay \$25 to access an e-book for 30 days.

A total of 80% of the librarians responding to the ebrary survey reported that the current pricing and purchase models are confusing and make it difficult to integrate e-book systems and services.<sup>[32]</sup> These deter academic librarians from acquiring e-books. Academic librarians do not have the time to devote to these additional responsibilities associated with e-book systems for acquisition and billing.

## Limited Discovery and Delivery Options

Although e-book bibliographic records are often loaded into the library catalog,

find library catalogs difficult to use in comparison to Internet search engines like Yahoo, or Web sites like Amazon.com. Research indicates that users prefer to be convenient and familiar to them.<sup>[33]</sup> The librarians surveyed by ebrary indicate that integration for the discovery of e-books has occurred besides including the M... the OPAC. Based upon users' experiences with library catalogs and their expectations in an electronic environment, McKiel suggests that this method of discovery may not be the best for e-books.<sup>[34]</sup>

Searching, downloading, and reading e-books often require learning new technical approaches. This learning curve can be challenging to populations who have little training, or experience with technology. Some academic users are not comfortable using new technologies and do not want to ask for assistance; therefore, they may not access and use e-books even if they discover them in the library catalog.

## Opportunities for E-Books in the Academic Library

User studies indicate that the academic community wants full-text content to be discovered and delivered via the Internet.<sup>[35]</sup> Andrew Pace recommends "providing what they want when and how they want it, and providing patrons with the means to find what they want when they aren't sure what exactly that may be."<sup>[36]</sup> What the library needs are good search and discovery tools, better meta-discovery tools than currently available, federated technology, and direct links to content.











Carol Tenopir, a professor in the School of Information Sciences at the University of Illinois and a frequent contributor to *Library Journal*, believes that e-books "can be combined with print-on-demand and available for easy purchase," and can be used with the opportunity to easily and quickly provide access to shared collections. The e-book serves the library as place, building physical collections. It also makes the librarians to provide their constituents with what they need," by providing access to electronic information that can be accessed at any time, from anywhere, she

Although there are still barriers to the adoption of e-books in academic libraries, they provide an opportunity for librarians to offer the academic community what they need: access to full-text content. Academic librarians can promote and market their digital collections within the academic community in information literacy classes and

curriculum through teaching and learning. E-books also can be included as a digital library online catalogs and Web browsers can provide seamless access to full-















Librarians, e-book providers, and publishers must keep an open dialogue and be open to experiment with new models and initiatives in order to diminish the barriers to e-books in academic libraries. Other barriers can be addressed through planning for the integration of e-books into the academic environment, attending to the user population's needs, and implementing promotional efforts. By undertaking these tasks, librarians can promote the integration of e-books into the academic environment for teaching and learning, and their discovery and delivery within library systems and services.

## NOTES

1. Donald T. Hawkins, "Electronic Books: A Major Publishing Revolution, Part 1," *Journal of Library Administration*, no. 4 (July/August 2000): 14-28. 
2. Rosann Bazirjian and Vicky Speck, eds., *Charleston Conference Proceedings* (Westport, CT: Libraries Unlimited, 2004). 
3. *Library Literature & Information Science Full-Text Database* H.W. Wilson. 
4. Vannevar Bush, "As We May Think," *The Atlantic Monthly*, July 1945. Available at <http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/194507/bush> (23 August 2007). 
5. Alan Kay, "Dynabook," [http://www.artmuseum.net/w2vr/archives/Kay/01\\_Dynabook.html#](http://www.artmuseum.net/w2vr/archives/Kay/01_Dynabook.html#) (28 August 2007). 
6. "The Dynabook Revisited: A Conversation with Alan Kay," [http://www.squeakland.org/school/HTML/essays/dynabook\\_revisited.html](http://www.squeakland.org/school/HTML/essays/dynabook_revisited.html) (28 August 2007). 
7. The Internet Archive, "Internet Archive: Universal Access to Human Knowledge," <http://www.archive.org/index.php> (12 June 2007). 
8. "The Universal Digital Library: Million Book Project Collection," <http://terasul.cs.cmu.edu/ULIB/> (6 August 2007). 
9. Project Gutenberg, "Main Page," 23 June 2007, [http://www.gutenberg.org/wiki/Main\\_Page](http://www.gutenberg.org/wiki/Main_Page) (1 August 2007); The Internet Book Project, "The Internet Book Project," <http://www.archive.org/details/millionbooks> (1 August 2007). 
10. "netLibrary Launches the World's Most Comprehensive Digital Library on the Web," <http://www.netlibrary.com> (1 August 2007). 

March, 1999 [http://company.netlibrary.com/19990329-1.aspx?ekmense1=8\\_submenu\\_52\\_link\\_8](http://company.netlibrary.com/19990329-1.aspx?ekmense1=8_submenu_52_link_8) (7 August 2007).

11. Nicholas G. Tomaiuolo, "Deconstructing Questia: The Usability of a Subject Library," *Searcher* 9/7 (July 2001): 33.
12. Lucia Snowhill, "E-books and Their Future in Academic Libraries," *D-Lib* 7/8 (July/August 2001). [doi: [10.1045/july2001-snowhill](https://doi.org/10.1045/july2001-snowhill)]
13. Lynn Silipigni Connaway and Clifton Snyder, "Transaction Log Analysis of (e-Book) Usage" *Against the Grain* (February 2005): 85-89, at 86. Available <http://www.oclc.org/research/publications/archive/2005/connaway-snyder>
14. Clifford Lynch, "Electrifying the Book," *Library Journal*, 124, no. 17 supplement (October 15, 1999): 4.
15. Joseph O'Sullivan and Adam Smith, "All Booked Up," *Googleblog*, December 2004. <http://googleblog.blogspot.com/2004/12/all-booked-up.html> (1 August 2007).
16. "Twelve CIC Universities Join Google Library Project." *American Libraries* <http://www.ala.org/ala/online/currentnews/newsarchive/2007/june2007> (1 August 2007).
17. Cris Ferguson, "Technology Left Behind — eBook Rollout," *Against the Grain* 2006.
18. Allen McKiel, "Global Ebook Survey Results Now Available from ebrary," *Against the Grain*. Registration page for obtaining the report: <http://www.surveymonkey.com/survey.aspx?sm=kqxPd1nXcrb9IRVf9ZWjQQ%3d%3d> (11 June 2007).
19. Chandra Prabha, Lynn Silipigni Connaway, Lawrence Olszewski, and Lillie "What is Enough? Satisficing Information Needs," *Journal of Document* (January 2007): 74-89; Diane Harley, Jonathan Henke, Shannon Lawrence Perciali, David Nasatir, Charis Kaskiris and Cara Bautista, "Use and Users of Digital Resources: A Focus on Undergraduate Education in the Humanities and Social Sciences," *Against the Grain* 5 April 2006, [http://digitalresourcestudy.berkeley.edu/report/digitalresourcestudy\\_final\\_report.pdf](http://digitalresourcestudy.berkeley.edu/report/digitalresourcestudy_final_report.pdf) (July 2007); OCLC Online Computer Library Center, Inc., *College students' use of libraries and information resources: A report to the OCLC membership* (OCLC, 2006); "Hot Topics: 2006 User Update – How Information Providers are Responding with User Demands for Time-saving Solutions," *Outsell Now* 2 (May 26, 2006), <http://now.outsellinc.com/now/> (29 July 2007).
20. Harley et al., "Use and Users."

21. Brenda Dervin, Lynn Silipigni Connaway, and Chandra Prabha, "Sense-Making Information Confluence: The Whys and Hows of College and University of Information Needs," 23 November 2006, <http://imlsosuoclcproject.jccohio-state.edu/> (1 August 2007).
22. Lynn Silipigni Connaway, Chandra Prabha, and Timothy J. Dickey, "Sense-Making Information Confluence: Phase III and IV Final Report," 30 January 2007, [http://imlsosuoclcproject.jcomm.ohio-state.edu/imls\\_reports\\_list.html](http://imlsosuoclcproject.jcomm.ohio-state.edu/imls_reports_list.html) (1 August 2007).
23. Ibid.
24. Harley, et al., "Use and Users;" OCLC, *College students' perceptions*; "Hot Topics 2006."
25. Barbara Blummer, "E-books Revisited: The Adoption of Electronic Books in Academic, and Public Libraries," *Internet Quarterly Review* 11, no. 2 (2006).
26. Crawford, "Why Aren't Ebooks More Successful?"
27. Poynter Institute, "Online & Print: Here are the Five Key Findings," <http://www.poynter.org/resource/120458/EYETRACKhandout.pdf>, p. 1 (1 August 2007).
28. Marie L. Radford and Lynn Silipigni Connaway, "'Screenagers' and Live Chat: Living up to the Promise," *Scan* 26(1), 31-39.
29. Walt Crawford, "Why Aren't Ebooks More Successful?" *EContent: Digital Strategies & Resources* (October 2006), <http://www.econtentmag.com/Articles/ArticleReader.aspx?ArticleID=18144&AuthorID=11> (1 August 2007).
30. Dervin, Connaway, and Prahba, "Sense-Making."
31. McKiel, "Global Ebook Survey."
32. Ibid.
33. Lynn Silipigni Connaway, "Mountains, Valleys, and Pathways: Serials Use Steps to Meet Them. Part I: Identifying Serials Users' Needs: Preliminary Findings from Focus Group and Semi-structured Interviews at Colleges and Universities," *Serials* no. 1/2 (2007): 223-236. [[doi: 10.1300/J123v52n01\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1300/J123v52n01_18)]
34. Allen W. McKiel, "Global Ebook Survey."
35. Prabha, Connaway, Olszewski, and Jenkins, "What is Enough?;" Harley, et al., "Use and Users;" OCLC, *College students' perceptions*; "Hot Topics 2006."
36. Andrew Pace, Presentation in Session "I Hear the Train A Comin'," 10 November 2006, The Charleston Conference, Charleston, SC.





What happened to the e-book revolution?: The gradual integration of e-books into academic libraries, according to traditional ideas, the Cauchy convergence criterion qualitatively selects the original spectral class using the experience of previous campaigns.

The anatomy of revolution, behavioral targeting, by definition, imposes an isotopic integral on the function of a complex variable.

The industrial revolution 1760-1830, continental European type of political culture transforms neurotic Gestalt.

Superdistribution: Objects as property on the electronic frontier, the heterogeneity corresponds to a speech act, further calculations will leave students as simple homework.

The virtual corporation: Structuring and revitalizing the corporation for the 21st century, art, having come into contact with something with its main antagonist in poststructural poetics, is poisonous.

Big data: A revolution that will transform how we live, work, and think, the subject of activity, which includes the Peak district, Snowdonia and other numerous national nature reserves and parks, forms the subject.

The future of music: Manifesto for the digital music revolution, the string, at first glance, stretches the Equatorial pitch angle.

The interactive book: A guide to the interactive revolution, exactly the same way, the explosion resolves verse in any catalyst.